

Questions you need to answer before approving the COVID vaccines for any age group

Steve Kirsch
Executive Director
COVID-19 Early Treatment Fund
stk@treatearly.org

Oct 26, 2021

FDA panel member (who is also Editor-in-Chief of NEJM) admits they have no clue if the vaccine is safe for kids.

The panel voted to approve it so they can test it on your kids.

Wow. Just wow.
[Watch on YouTube.](#)




Covid Memo @CovidMemo · 44s

This quote from an FDA voting member tells you everything you need to know about their nonchalant mindset of experimentation on kids.

"We are never gonna learn about how safe the vaccine is until we start giving it." -Dr. Eric Ruben, Editor-in-Chief @NEJM

Video 📺 📺



Vaccinate 28M kids

Hypothetical benefit
Save <14 kids from
COVID death.

Projected cost
Kill >1,400 kids and
injure many times more,
perhaps permanently.

Why risk it?

Lady Snow @jfrost3789
8h · 🌐

One doctor - We won't know how safe these shots are for children until we start giving them to children. 🙄

BlueSky @QBlueSky
12h · 🌐 · Edited

The FDA just admitted they have no long term studies of the risks for the Vaccine for children, yet the FDA seems ready to approve the Pfizer vaccine for children aged 5-11.

There is no benefit for kids, no data, no risk benefit analysis . Vax DOESN'T PREVENT TRANSMISSION. VAX contribute to SPREAD of more virulent Sarscov2 forms. Pfizer admitted it hasn't tested the long-term efficacy - or the long-term risks!

Pfizer & Big Pharma fund most of the FDA. FDA is not a government agency "of the people, for the people"...They are Big Pharma puppet employees!

FDA Vaccine Advisory Committee - Full Presentation 📺

Read more



The screenshot shows a Zoom meeting interface. At the top, there are three video thumbnails of participants: a woman on the left, a man in the center, and another man on the right. Below the thumbnails is a presentation slide. The slide is divided into three sections. The left section has the FDA logo and text: "FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION (FDA) Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER) 17th Meeting of the Vaccines and Related Biological Products Advisory Committee". The middle section has a large blue play button icon over the text "FDA". The right section has a large blue "Q&A" graphic and text: "FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION (FDA) Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER) 17th Meeting of the Vaccines and Related Biological Products Advisory Committee".

Why are kids dropping like flies right after getting vaccinated?

If they didn't die from the vaccine, then what killed all these kids?

Sunday 6:14 AM



Local Teen, Queen's University Student Passed Away Suddenly After Med...
kawattha411.ca



Family wants U of G student's memory to live on through kindness
guelphtoday.com

Ontario is on a roll

7:53 AM 77%
AT&T LTE dailymail.com



Photo courtesy of Simone Scott's Instagram

Jacob Fulton and Yunkyo Kim
June 12, 2021

Medill freshman Simone Scott died Friday morning following a heart transplant, Vice President for Student Affairs, Julie Payne-Kirchmeier announced Saturday in a message to the community.

Scott was a Northwestern from Madison...

Sunday 7:49 AM



'A brilliant kid on and off the ice and in every sport he played'
thepeterboroughexaminer.com

4 now

These coincidences should all go in your next slide deck

Wednesday 7:37 AM



Sean Hartman: 17-Year-Old Boy Dies Shortly After Receiving The COVID-19 Vaccine
thecovidworld.com



Tragedy for Gee-Gees: Defensive lineman Francis Perron dies after game...
Life is so fragile; sometimes it's inexplicably taken away — with no warning. Fifth-year Ottawa Gee-Gees defensive lineman Francis Perron died Saturday, shortly after his team's 11-10 loss to the University of Toronto Blue...
montrealgazette.com

University of Ottawa: vaccination compulsory; 1st shot at latest August 1 -
[https://montrealgazette.com/sports/football/all/tragedy-for-gee-gees-defensive-lineman-francis-perron-dies-after-game-in-toronto/wcm/d651a2c4-c3d5-4454-ad60-099c36811f53?utm_term=Autofeed&utm_medium=Social&utm_source=Twitter#Echobox=1632096217](https://montrealgazette.com/sports/football/tragedy-for-gee-gees-defensive-lineman-francis-perron-dies-after-game-in-toronto/wcm/d651a2c4-c3d5-4454-ad60-099c36811f53?utm_term=Autofeed&utm_medium=Social&utm_source=Twitter#Echobox=1632096217)

Sun 8:43pm

"inexplicably taken away" -



How can a healthy 16-year-old boy die in the middle of his zoom math class?

He was fine 20 minutes before he died.



[livesitenews.com](https://www.livesitenews.com)

Healthy 16-year-old boy dies during online class after second Pfizer jab: VAERS database - ...

The doctors found nothing.

What did the CDC find?

VAERS ID: 1466009 ●

ONSET: 27 days AGE: 16 SEX: M

My son died, while taking his math class on Zoom. We are waiting for the autopsy because the doctors did not find anything. He was a healthy boy, he had a good academic index, he wanted to be a civil engineer. He was the best thing in my life.

[READ FULL REPORT >](#)

VACCINE TYPE(S): COVID19

VACCINE NAME(S): COVID19 (COVID19 (PFIZER-BIONTECH))

SYMPTOM(S): AUTOPSY, DEATH

Why did this 15 year-old die in his sleep?

Just 2 days after getting vaccinated.

VAERS ID: 1382906

Sonoma County Sheriff's Office
Mark Essick, Sheriff-Coroner
Coroner Investigations Unit
3336 Chanate Road, Santa Rosa, CA 95404
(707) 565-5070



DEATH INVESTIGATION SYNOPSIS REPORT CORONER CASE # 21-000670

INCIDENT INFORMATION

LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY WITH JURISDICTION: Santa Rosa Police Department		REPRESENTATIVE TITLE AND NAME: Officer Jose Andrade #568	AGENCY CR # <input type="checkbox"/> N/A 21-0006115
MANNER OF DEATH: Undetermined	DATE/TIME RECEIVED: 06/07/2021 14:35		

DECEDENT INFORMATION

DECEDENT'S NAME (FIRST, MIDDLE, LAST): [REDACTED]	AGE: 15 yrs	
--	-----------------------	--

DEATH INFORMATION

(PLACE OF DEATH) (Facility Name or Address Location): [REDACTED]	DATE OF DEATH: 06/07/2021 [Found]	TIME OF DEATH: 14:04 [Found]
---	---	--

SYNOPSIS

The decedent was found unresponsive in his bedroom after his mother was checking on his welfare long after he was supposed to wake in the morning. The decedent was pronounced dead at the scene due to obvious death. The decedent had been in good health with no medical history and had received his second Pfizer COVID-19 Vaccination approximately two days before his death.

The decedent's body was transported to the Sonoma County Morgue Facility, where he was registered for a postmortem examination by a forensic pathologist.

After extensive research, additional testing, and collaboration with numerous other entities, the cause of death was determined to be: **"STRESS CARDIOMYOPATHY WITH PERIVASCULAR CORONARY ARTERY INFLAMMATION (hours to days), due to, UNKNOWN ETIOLOGY IN SETTING OF RECENT PFIZER-BIONTECH COVID-19 VACCINATION (days)."** There were no other significant conditions contributing to the death listed.

Since the etiology of the stress cardiomyopathy with perivascular coronary artery inflammation was unknown but was in the setting of a recent Pfizer-Biontech Covid-19 vaccination, I mannered this death as **"UNDETERMINED,"** which was consistent with the circumstances and cause.

How did you miss all these safety signals?

Doesn't this explain the deaths?

Note: this list is just a small subset of >1,000 symptoms that are elevated by these vaccines

Symptom	X factor
Pulmonary embolism	570
Thrombosis	360
Myocarditis	118
Ischaemic stroke	80
Deep vein thrombosis	72
Cardiac arrest	65
Aphasia	42
Blindness	32
Death	29
Haemorrhage intracranial	20

Increased VAERS reporting rate in 15-24 year olds vs. avg rate over 5 years computed from VAERS data on Oct 22, 2021 by Steve Kirsch

If the vaccines are so safe, how come Taiwan officially admits that the vaccines kill more people than the virus?



Anabel V. @Anabel_Villero · 27m

Replying to @stkirsch

The CDC is conveniently trying to hide vaccine-induced mortality data. Taiwan is not.



Anabel V. @Anabel_Villero · 49m

More die after 📄 in Taiwan than from C19 itself.

Looks like Taiwan is recording vaccine deaths properly – unlike the US where you are not considered vaccinated until 14 days post-inoculation.

A convenient way for the CDC to hide vaccine-induced mortality data.



**Do you find this
recent UK
headline
troubling?**



Children are up to 16 times more likely to die with Covid-19 if they've had the Covid Vaccine according to latest UK Health Security Agency report

by [Daily Exposé](#)

The latest report from the UK Health Security Agency shows that the Chief Medical Officer (CMO) for England's decision to recommend all children over the age of 12 should be vaccinated against Covid-19 was a huge mistake because the data shows children are 16 times more likely to die with Covid-19 if they have been [...]

[Read more of this post](#)

Source:


<https://theexpose.uk/2021/10/22/children-up-to-16-times-more-likely-to-die-with-covid-19-if-vaccinated/>

How are **Germany and Norway** both able to determine causality in sample sizes of 100 or less, but the **CDC can't determine causality** in over 9,143 deaths it has investigated?!?



The screenshot shows the top of a website page. On the left, there is a hamburger menu icon followed by the word "MENU" and a magnifying glass search icon. In the center, the logo for "POLITIFACT" is displayed in blue and red, with a yellow checkmark over the letter 'O'. Below the logo, it says "The Poynter Institute". On the right side, there is a red rectangular button with the word "Donate" in white. Below the navigation bar, the main content area contains two paragraphs of text. The first paragraph states: "The CDC has not reported a death rate for children who have received a COVID-19 vaccine as higher than the rate of death for children who are infected by the virus." The second paragraph states: "In fact, the agency also says there is no clear evidence that any of the three COVID-19 vaccines used in the United States have caused any deaths."

How come deaths in Israel **go up** when vaccinations go up? And **go down** when vaccinations go down?



What is the **VAERS**
underreporting factor
(URF)?

How can you do a
proper **risk benefit**
analysis if you don't
know the URF?

URF?

Using a URF of 41 (calculated using the CDC methodology), **we find over 300,000 excess deaths in VAERS.**

If the vaccine didn't kill them, what did?

300,000

Excess deaths

Is there any **stopping condition** to these experiments?

How many Americans have to die before you **pull the plug**?

How many kids have to die **before you yell stop**?



Why are there no autopsies for deaths after vaccination?

Schirmacher, one of the world's top pathologists, found that at least 30% to 40% of the deaths that happened within 2 weeks after COVID vaccination were caused by the vaccine.

August 1, 2021, 9:53 a.m. Science - Heidelberg

Chief pathologist insists on more autopsies of vaccinated people



Peter Schirmacher, Managing Director of the Pathological Institute at Heidelberg University Hospital. Photo: Uli Deck / dpa (Photo: dpa)

Why didn't the highly unusual causes of deaths in these kids raise any red flags in the CDC 12-17 safety study?

They didn't even comment. Just "move on, nothing to see here."

If just 10 of the 14 deaths were caused by the vaccine, then that's ~410 children killed so far which is nearly 10X more than we might save with these vaccines.

CDC Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR)

COVID-19 Vaccine Safety in Adolescents Aged 12-17 Years — United States, December 14, 2020-July 16, 2021

Weekly / August 6, 2021 / 70(31);1053-1058

CDC reviewed 14 reports of death after vaccination. Among the decedents, four were aged 12-15 years and 10 were aged 16-17 years. All death reports were reviewed by CDC physicians; impressions regarding cause of death were pulmonary embolism (two), suicide (two), intracranial hemorrhage (two), heart failure (one), hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis and disseminated *Mycobacterium chelonae* infection (one), and unknown or pending further records (six).

How many months do troponin levels stay elevated for after vaccination?

(super-high post-vax levels can be sustained for months; this is unprecedented)

Alkaline phosphatase (U/L)	07	00
Troponin I (ng/mL) on presentation	6.140 (reference 0-0.30 ng/mL)	27.0 (reference 0.012-0.120 ng/mL)
Other Labs		
Peak Troponin I	10,453 (high sensitivity assay, reference ≤ 17 ng/L)	44.30 (reference 0.012-0.120 ng/mL)

614X normal in 45 year old woman

Over 139,470
comments have
been posted
against the
vaccines in kids.

We found only
one comment in
favor. How many
do you find?

NOTICE

Vaccines and Related Biological Products Advisory Committee Meeting; Public Docket; Request for Comments

Posted by the Food and Drug Administration on Oct 12, 2021

[Comment](#) [Share](#)

[Document Details](#) [Browse Comments](#) 1.4K

Document ID
FDA-2021-N-1088-0001

Comments Received
120,453

This count refers to the total comment/submissions received on this document, as of 11:59 PM yesterday. For a

Content

Action
Notice; establishment of a public docket

Summary
The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is holding a public docket for the Vaccines and Related Biological Products Advisory Committee Meeting. For more information, visit [www.fda.gov/oc/notice](#).

Did you ever read the Kostoff paper?

“In plain English, people in the 65+ demographic are **five times as likely to die** from the inoculation as from COVID-19 under the most favorable assumptions!”

(it's **even worse if you are younger**)

My [independent research qualitatively validated his result.](#)

Source: [Why are we vaccinating children against COVID-19?](#), Kostoff



The image is a screenshot of a web page from 'Toxicology Reports', Volume 8, 2021, Pages 1665-1684. The page features the Elsevier logo on the left and a 'toxicology reports' logo on the right. The main title is 'Why are we vaccinating children against COVID-19?'. Below the title, the authors are listed: Ronald N. Kostoff^{a,*,}, Daniela Calina^{b,}, Darja Kanduc^{c,}, Michael B. Briggs^{d,}, Panayiotis Vlachoyiannopoulos^{e,}, Andrey A. Svistunov^{f,}, and Aristidis Tsatsakis^g. There are social media sharing options for Mendeley, Share, and Cite. A DOI link is provided: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxrep.2021.08.010>. The page also indicates it is under a Creative Commons license and has open access. A 'Highlights' section is visible at the bottom, listing several key findings.

Toxicology Reports
Volume 8, 2021, Pages 1665-1684

Why are we vaccinating children against COVID-19?

Ronald N. Kostoff^{a,*,}, Daniela Calina^{b,}, Darja Kanduc^{c,}, Michael B. Briggs^{d,}, Panayiotis Vlachoyiannopoulos^{e,}, Andrey A. Svistunov^{f,}, Aristidis Tsatsakis^g

Show more ▾

+ Add to Mendeley Share Cite

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxrep.2021.08.010> Get rights and content
Under a Creative Commons license open access

Highlights

- Bulk of COVID-19 per capita deaths occur in elderly with high comorbidities.
- Per capita COVID-19 deaths are negligible in children.
- Clinical trials for these inoculations were very short-term.
- Clinical trials did not address long-term effects most relevant to children.
- High post-inoculation deaths reported in VAERS (very short-term).

Why was this paper removed over the objections of the Editor?



TEMPORARY REMOVAL: A Report on Myocarditis Adverse Events in the U.S. Vaccine Adverse Events Reporting System (VAERS) in Association with COVID-19 Injectable Biological Products

Jessica Rose PhD, MSc, BSc ¹ , Peter A. McCullough MD, MPH ²

Show more

Add to Mendeley Share Cite

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpcardiol.2021.101011>

Under a Creative Commons license

[open access](#)

The Publisher regrets that this article has been temporarily removed. A replacement will appear as soon as possible in which the reason for the removal of the article will be specified, or the article will be reinstated.

The full Elsevier Policy on Article Withdrawal can be found at <http://www.elsevier.com/locate/withdrawalpolicy>.


“We found **19 times the**
expected number of
myocarditis cases...”

From the Rose paper

“a **5-fold increase** in myocarditis rate was observed subsequent to **dose 2** as opposed to **dose 1** in 15-year-old males”

From the Rose paper

Is this what you mean by “slightly elevated” risk?



From the Rose paper

Is this what you mean by “mild myocarditis”?



Dr Anthony Hinton

@TonyHinton2016

1/9

Viral myocarditis results in 2 in 10 people dead after 2 years and 5 in 10 after 5 years. It's not mild. It's dead heart muscle.




Neil Oliver  @thecoastguy · 8h

You can't have “mild myocarditis” - in the same way you can't be “a little bit pregnant”.

Aren't you supposed to have more cardiac events as you get older?

CARDIAC EVENTS -> VAERS IDs vs AGE GROUPS



Data source: VAERS/Analysis: Dr. Jessica Rose

From the Rose paper

Do these bar charts look the same to you?

Comparison of cardiac adverse events between 2021 (left) and 2019 (right)




Chart prepared by Jessica Rose

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

PUBLIC HEALTH AND MEDICAL
PROFESSIONALS FOR TRANSPARENCY,

Plaintiff,

-against-

FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION,

Defendant.

The case number is 4:21-cv-01058-P
and the case was filed in the Federal District Court
for the Northern District of Texas.

Civil Action No. _____

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

Plaintiff, as for its Complaint regarding a Freedom of Information Act request against the above-captioned Defendant, alleges as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. Until only a few weeks ago, all coronavirus vaccines available in the United States were only authorized for emergency use by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (the "FDA").¹
2. On August 23, 2021, the FDA approved the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine, marketed as Comirnaty (the "Pfizer Vaccine") for individuals 16 years of age and older.²
3. Although the FDA asserts that the Pfizer Vaccine "meets the high standards for safety, effectiveness, and manufacturing quality the FDA requires of an approved product[...]"³ numerous public health officials, media outlets, journalists, scientists, politicians, public figures, and others with large social or media platforms have publicly raised questions regarding the sufficiency of the data and information, the adequacy of the review, and the appropriateness of the

¹ <https://www.bmj.com/content/373/bmj.n1244> (last visited 9/5/2021).

² <https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/fda-approves-first-covid-19-vaccine> (last visited 9/5/2021).

³ *Id.*

Why do scientists have to **sue the FDA** to see the Pfizer clinical trial data?

How can a kid who was in the Pfizer 12-15 year-old trial be paralyzed (**likely for life**) and **not** have that reported in the trial report to the FDA?

How can you approve a vaccine for < 12 when you haven't yet investigated the 12-15 year old safety?

The FDA promised to investigate. **They did nothing.** Why?



Why didn't anyone ask any questions about the gaming in the Pfizer Phase 3 trial?!? This is unlikely to happen by chance ($p < 0.00001$).

Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine
VRBPAC Briefing Document

Table 2. Efficacy Populations, Treatment Groups as Randomized

	BNT162b2 (30 µg) n ^a (%)	Placebo n ^a (%)	Total n ^a (%)
Randomized ^b	21823 (100.0)	21828 (100.0)	43651 (100.0)
Participants excluded from evaluable efficacy (14 days) population	1790 (8.2)	1585 (7.3)	3375 (7.7)
Reason for exclusion ^c			
Randomized but did not meet all eligibility criteria	36 (0.2)	26 (0.1)	62 (0.1)
Did not provide informed consent	1 (0.0)	0	1 (0.0)
Did not receive all vaccinations as randomized or did not receive Dose 2 within the predefined window (19-42 days after Dose 1)	1550 (7.1)	1561 (7.2)	3111 (7.1)
Had other important protocol deviations on or prior to 7 days after Dose 2	311 (1.4)	60 (0.3)	371 (0.8)
Had other important protocol deviations on or prior to 14 days after Dose 2	311 (1.4)	61 (0.3)	372 (0.9)

^an = Number of participants with the specified characteristic.


^bThese values are the denominators for the percentage calculations.

^cParticipants may have been excluded for more than 1 reason.


Note: 100 participants, 12 through 15 years of age with limited follow-up, are included in the randomized population (49 in the vaccine

Are there any critical thinkers on the VRBPAC committee?

If so, can you please identify yourself?



Why won't anyone
publicly debate our
team of experts on
vaccine safety?



The complete list of my questions are posted on [TrialSiteNews](#) today (search for VRBPAC).

There are too many unanswered questions for you to approve the vaccine for 5-11 year olds.



Appendix

Additional questions the VRBPAC committee should answer.

My FDA testimony Oct 26, 2021

The screenshot shows an Adobe Connect meeting window. The top-left panel displays a red 'Stage Lights' icon with the text 'Time's Up' below it. The main content area features the FDA logo and the following text: 'FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION (FDA)', 'Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER)', and '170th Meeting of the Vaccines and Related Biological Products Advisory Committee'. A text box at the bottom of the slide reads: 'SLIDE 10, THANK YOU FOR ALLOWING ME THIS OPPORTUNITY TO PRESENT'. The bottom of the window shows a video player interface with a progress bar at 4:40:06 / 8:09:13 and various control icons.

They ignored everything I said


They voted 17-0 that the benefits outweighed the risk.

There is no case for vaccinating kids under 12.

Look at the trend line. And that's just for myocarditis. There are over 50 very serious side effects that the CDC refuses to acknowledge (including death).

Benefits and risks after dose 2, by age group

For every million doses of mRNA vaccine given with current US exposure risk¹



¹ Based on hospitalization rates from COVID-NET as of May 22nd. Benefit/Risk calculated over 120 days.

There is no case for vaccinating kids under 12.

Here are the numbers from the CDC. But the **“observed” numbers are raw VAERS counts** and thus should be multiplied by at least 41 (the URF).

Expect 1-5, get 4,756. That’s not “slightly elevated risk.”

Expected vs. Observed reports after **Pfizer-BioNTech** dose 2, 7-day risk period (N=549)*

Age group, years	Females		Males	
	Cases of myopericarditis, expected	Cases of myopericarditis, observed	Cases of myopericarditis, expected	Cases of myopericarditis, observed
12–15*	0–3	12	1–5	116
16–17*	0–2	15	0–3	120
18–24*	0–5	11	1–7	134
25–29*	0–4	4	1–5	30
30–39	1–13	7	1–11	40
40–49	1–13	12	1–11	26
50–64	2–22	9	2–19	5
65+	2–22	4	2–18	4



* As of Aug 18, 2021; assumes a 7-day observation window, with 549 of 765 reports after mRNA vaccines occurring during Days 0–6 after vaccination; counts among 12–29 years from reports meeting case definition for myopericarditis; expected estimates for females 12–29 years adjusted to reflect reduced incidence in this age group

The biggest elephant in the room

The forced vaccination of 28 Million kids with an unproven vaccine in order to “best case” **save just 14 COVID deaths** is insane.

Risks: Short-term and long-term known and unknown
Benefits: All hypothetical.

The fact that the CDC/FDA safety monitoring is **completely broken and cannot even detect death as an SAE** doesn't add to the public confidence at all.

Dr. Peter Schirmacher determined definitively that 30% to 40% of deaths post-vaccine were caused by the vaccine. Even after knowing this, the fact that the FDA and CDC still cannot pick up this critical safety signal at all should be extremely troubling to the entire world.



Other elephants in the room

1. The risk-benefit case for ages 5 -11 is based solely on [hypotheticals](#).
2. Over 50% of these kids in this age group have had COVID-19 by now and are immune. This means that the [greatest possible # of lives saved is just 14 kids](#).
3. The FDA assumes myocarditis is the only SAE. They don't consider any of the SAEs like death, pulmonary embolism, cardiac arrest, intracranial hemorrhage, etc. that were the causes of death in the [14 child death cases the CDC analyzed](#).
4. They [never talk about the URF in their meetings](#). This is preposterous. You cannot do a risk/benefit analysis without calculating the URF. The “excuse” they give is that VAERS is generates signals, but they have proven in their own presentations that VSD is similarly underreported. There is no “law” that says you cannot estimate event frequencies from VAERS events multiplied by the URF.



Other elephants in the room

5. The risk-benefit analyses are meaningless given that FDAs has not verified Pfizer's efficacy data.
6. The immunobriging analysis for delta has not been verified by FDA and uses an assay not yet validated.
7. There is **no need to extend the EUA to 5 to 11 year olds**. Any parent who believes the COVID vaccines are truly safe and effective **can simply use the approved vaccine off-label**.
8. **Mandates are unnecessary**. There is no analysis showing a positive risk benefit from mandates when there is no underlying risk-benefit case from direct vaccination.
9. Where is the **long-term risk-benefit analysis**?
10. **The trials for kids were underpowered**. We need powered trials that show the risk-benefit.
11. There was gaming of the trials. If you were injured after the first dose, you were dropped from the trial. That's not right.
12. The safety monitoring is severely broken.
13. People at the FDA and CDC repeatedly ignore all attempts to make them aware of the safety signals. Why?
14. If approved, we'll spend \$2B in order to kill thousands of kids. Stunning.



How can you infer that antibodies created against the wild type virus will confer significant immunity to our children against Delta that will significantly outweigh both the known and the unknown risks?

We need to see the math on that one in writing including a VAERS estimate. It would look something like this cost-benefit analysis. **Where is the analysis???**

And why isn't the medical community not calling for this?!?
They are (largely) silent. Are they all "captured"?

The math just doesn't work for kids

There are [28 million kids age 5 to 11](#)

If the vaccines are super effective for Delta, we might save [1 in million](#) kids from dying from COVID. That's 28 kids saved. But half have had COVID so just 14 lives potentially saved.

While we don't know for sure what the death rate due to the vaccine will be for this age group, a reasonable estimate from [extrapolating our VAERS research](#) would be ~30 vax deaths per million doses. So 56M doses will cause around 1,680 child deaths.

Killing 1,680 kids to save 14 kids doesn't make a lot of sense to me. It means we kill 120 kids to maybe save 1 COVID death. We'd have to be wrong by more than 100X for this to even start to make any sense at all. Did we make a mistake?

The Toby Rogers analysis

He's an expert on risk-benefit analysis of vaccines. Can you answer his 10 red flags? Did you read all the comments?

sort by Recent

Commander-in-Chief MadamY @Mad_Amy · 2m

@stkirsch Here's an article that references yiu just before conclusion: tobyrogers.substack.com/p/ten-red-flags-in-th...

The chart displays the number of myocardial infarcts (MIs) reported to MMWR for COVID-19 vaccine recipients (red bars) and Flu vaccine recipients (blue bars) across various age groups. The x-axis represents age groups from 12 to 92. The y-axis represents the number of MIs. The red bars are significantly higher than the blue bars across all age groups, with a peak in the 18-24 age group.

Age Group	Post-COVID Vaccine	Post-Flu Vaccine
12	10	2
13	15	2
14	25	2
15	35	2
16	45	2
17	55	2
18	65	2
19	75	2
20	85	2
21	95	2
22	105	2
23	115	2
24	125	2
25	135	2
26	145	2
27	155	2
28	165	2
29	175	2
30	185	2
31	195	2
32	205	2
33	215	2
34	225	2
35	235	2
36	245	2
37	255	2
38	265	2
39	275	2
40	285	2
41	295	2
42	305	2
43	315	2
44	325	2
45	335	2
46	345	2
47	355	2
48	365	2
49	375	2
50	385	2
51	395	2
52	405	2
53	415	2
54	425	2
55	435	2
56	445	2
57	455	2
58	465	2
59	475	2
60	485	2
61	495	2
62	505	2
63	515	2
64	525	2
65	535	2
66	545	2
67	555	2
68	565	2
69	575	2
70	585	2
71	595	2
72	605	2
73	615	2
74	625	2
75	635	2
76	645	2
77	655	2
78	665	2
79	675	2
80	685	2
81	695	2
82	705	2
83	715	2
84	725	2
85	735	2
86	745	2
87	755	2
88	765	2
89	775	2
90	785	2
91	795	2
92	805	2

Ten red flags in the FDA's risk-benefit analysis of Pfizer's EUA application to inject American children 5 to 11 with its mRNA product

The FDA briefing document is preposterous junk science and it must be withdrawn immediately

tobyrogers.substack.com View Link Feed

Like Reply Report

See also [Let's go! Call to action, part 2, CDC](#)

Toby Rogers Part II

Did you feel the same way?

I watched the FDA's Vaccines and Related Biological Products Advisory Committee (VRBPAC) meeting today. A few quick thoughts:

Watching the VRBPAC meeting was like sitting at my desk for hours with a knife stuck in my chest. It injures one's soul to watch so many ideologically-driven people ignore science and data to serve their class interests by poisoning kids.

Some interesting wrinkles from the meeting.

- We submitted 140,000 public comments to the FDA and the vast majority (99.999% according to [Steve Kirsch](#)) were opposed to the EUA. That is now part of the public record. If these bureaucrats are not frightened by that they should be because we all have a long memory.





“The FDA’s risk-benefit analysis in connection with Pfizer’s Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) application to inject children ages 5 to 11 with their COVID-19 vaccine is **one of the shoddiest documents I’ve ever seen.**”

—Toby Rogers

EUA Will Do More Harm than Good

43 hospitalizations post-vaccination for every 18 prevented

- MMWR Report: COVID-19 vaccinations among children and adolescents prevent ~2.8 hospitalizations per month per 100k
 - ~18 hospitalizations prevented per 100K over 6 months
- MMWR Report on V-Safe data: ~43 hospitalizations per 100k in just one week (!) following COVID-19 vaccination
 - ~43 hospitalizations per 100k every 6 months (if boosters needed)
 - 1 in 375 in ER or Hospitalized in first week after vaccination



<https://tinyurl.com/HoldTheLineFDA>

Guetzkow FDA presentation

We'll hospitalize more kids than we'll save from hospitalization.

Vaccines more dangerous to kids than COVID

**Overall, the vaccines
are killing more people
than they save**

**Killed: 150K¹
Saved: 10K²**

Do you find that troubling at all?



¹[Estimating the number of vaccine deaths](#) computes over 150K excess deaths due to the COVID vaccines 8 different ways.

²[Pfizer's 6 month phase 3 trials result](#) clearly shows 1 life saved for every 22,000 vaccinations. Since we've [partially vaccinated almost 220M Americans](#), that's at most 10,000 lives saved as of Oct 10, 2021. But that's assuming the vaccines are as effective against Delta as they are against Alpha. So it's probably much less than 10,000 lives saved.



I offered to bet a **\$1M** to **anyone** who believed the CDC was telling the truth about 0 COVID vaccine-caused deaths.

If there are <500 deaths, you win the bet.

No takers. Why?



I offered an unrestricted \$1M research grant to anyone who could find an error in Mathew Crawford's statistical analysis which showed 411 vaccine-caused deaths per million doses.

No takers. Why?

Perhaps it could be that it was correct and agreed with other independent methods?

Age	Killed	Saved	K:S
20-30	67	11	6.1:1
30-40	121	31	3.9:1
40-50	210	76	2.8:1
50-60	436	185	2.4:1
60-70	1031	450	2.3:1
70-80	2140	1133	1.9:1
80+	6276	3458	1.8:1

The vaccines are nonsensical for every age group

The table shows the Killed by vaccine:Saved from COVID death in 6 months numbers. Units for both columns are per million doses.

[This article](#) which details how all these numbers were calculated. Nobody has supplied more accurate numbers to me. Why not?

For kids, we kill over 6 kids to save 1 kid from a COVID death. Mandating vaccination for anyone, especially school-age children, is proof of a corrupt society.

Given this table, why do we need to mandate these vaccines for all ages?

Adverse event table

This is a partial list of adverse events. Here is [a more complete list](#).

Nearly every event was elevated.

[Jessica Rose found over 10,000 event types](#).

No vaccine in history has this range of adverse events. It is unprecedented. **If it wasn't the vaccine, what caused this?**

Note that the elevation of risk is often temporary, e.g., for cardiac arrest. This table only compares the number of events reported this year vs. previous years.

Example: Cardiac arrest was reported 71X more often than normal, but that risk is only elevated for an unknown amount of time.

For example, troponin levels only stay elevated (up to levels >10X that of heart attack levels) for a few months. D-dimer, troponin, and spike protein can be elevated for months after vaccination. This is not normal.

Dr. Peter McCullough would be delighted to talk to the press about actual patients, but the press isn't interested in reporting on this.


Symptom	X factor
Pulmonary embolism	473
Stroke	326
Deep vein thrombosis	264.3
Thrombosis	250.5
Fibrin D dimer increased	220.8
Appendicitis	145.5
Tinnitus	97.3
Cardiac arrest	71
Death	58.1
Parkinson's disease	55
Slow speech	54.3
Aphasia (inability to talk)	52.3

Full list: [Estimating the number of COVID vaccine deaths in America](#)


VISION TEST

Can you spot the unsafe vaccine?

(nobody at the FDA or CDC can, including the advisory committees)



THE FDA SAYS THESE ARE ALL “BACKGROUND DEATHS”
But if they were background deaths, all the bars would be the same height, right?
Do these look like the same height to you? Why does it peak at Day 1?



Do you see anything unusual this year?

Numbers a bit high, right?


If it wasn't the vaccine, then what caused this and how do you know that?

VAERS COVID Vaccine Adverse Event Reports

Reports from the Vaccine Adverse Events Reporting System. Our default data reflects all VAERS data including the "nondomestic" reports. 📍

All VAERS COVID Reports US/Territories/Unknown

612,124 (US) Reports
Through October 15, 2021 📍



Why do we have to rely on newspaper stories of anecdotes to spot safety signals? Why isn't the CDC picking up any safety signals?

VAERS shows a **24X** higher frequency of **aphthous ulcer** after the COVID vaccine vs. other vaccines. Nearly 12,000 estimated incidents (based on URF=41). Nobody thinks it is vaccine related since the vaccines are safe and effective.

VAGINAL ulcers could be a newly discovered side effect of Covid vaccination, US doctors have claimed.

After treating a 16-year-old girl for agonising lesions in her genitals, they suspect her recent jab could be the only cause.



Painful vaginal ulcers could be a result of the Pfizer jab, doctors say Credit: Alamy


The teenager was not sexually active and had been given her second [Pfizer Covid vaccine](#) dose days before coming to hospital.

Docs said the young woman had developed agonising “sores” in her vaginal area alongside a fever, fatigue and muscle aches within 24 hours of having the US-made jab.

Two days later she went to the emergency room and, although given antibiotics, did not improve.

Nicki Minaj was absolutely right.
All the medical experts got it
wrong. **All of them.**

[Read what the science actually says.](#) All of the “experts” used hand waving arguments not backed up by any data to discredit her. None of them checked VAERS which showed a **17.7X** elevation vs. baseline. Should we now rely on hip-hop artists for adverse event reporting? The CDC still hasn’t confirmed this [even though it is plain as day in VAERS.](#) Is anyone home there??




Why did the slope of the total number of deaths suddenly change right when they rolled out the vaccines?

Hint: it was the vaccines that increased the death rate



A bit more detail on the slope...

You can see it in the density and 7-day moving average of the daily deaths too (which makes sense as the first derivative). Deaths were rolling over in late December, then surged. The CFR had stabilized late in the year, so the 18-day lag should show a relatively consistent ratio, but a small increase in cases gets associated with a larger increase in deaths. The decline in cases in late December did not result in a decline in deaths 18 days later, then the cases declined by nearly 50% with a death decline of just over 40%. That's the first moment in the pandemic when CFR goes up (on an 18-day lag), then falls quickly after the elderly are done getting vaccinated most places.





The only reason they “found” the myocarditis safety signal is because it made it to the Israeli media in April and leaked out—they had put a lid on it for about 6 weeks. It’s not like they have any safety monitoring at all. That’s why they can’t see the pulmonary embolism signal which is the most obvious. Or the death safety signal.

This article from *Health Impact News* notes the excess morbidity and mortality risks exactly like the [Classen paper](#) [predicted](#).

CDC isn't pointing these risks out to kids for some reason.

Any idea why that is?

September 3, 2021

 Print This Post

CDC: Teens Injected with COVID Shots have 7.5 X More Deaths, 15 X More Disabilities, 44 X More Hospitalizations than All FDA Approved Vaccines in 2021

We are Destroying the Lives of our Young with Experimental COVID Injections



HealthImpactNews · Published August 14, 2021 · 303,522 Views

SUBSCRIBE

SHARE

Have you seen their video?

What did you think? Did it want to make you get the jab?



UK judge got it right.

MT

Reply

Males teens deaths increased 63% and kids 400%:

<https://theexpose.uk/2021/10/06/uk-judge-orders-government-to-provide-evidence-in-court-that-justifies-covid-19-vaccination-of-children/>

The Expose

UK Judge orders Government to provide evidence in court that justifies Covid-19 Vaccination of Children in legal challenge to ...

A Judge has ordered the UK Government to submit evidence that justifies Covid-19 vaccination of children, giving them a deadline ...



LEAVE OUR KIDS ALONE

THEEXPOSE.UK

UK JUDGE ORDERS GOVERNMENT TO PROVIDE EVIDENCE IN COURT THAT JUSTIFIES COVID-19 VACCINATION OF CHILDREN IN LEGAL CHALLENGE TO HALT ROLL-OUT OF THE JABS TO KIDS

6:00 AM

Why are deaths
in the UK for
kids elevated?

If it wasn't the
vaccine, then
what caused
this?

Deaths among male Children are 89% higher than the 5-year-average since they were given the Covid-19 Vaccine

BY THE EXPOSÉ ON OCTOBER 27, 2021 • (LEAVE A COMMENT)



Listen Now

An investigation of official ONS data has revealed that since the Covid-19 vaccine was offered and administered to kids in England and Wales there has been a 89% rise in deaths among male children against the five-year-average, with the most recent week seeing an increase as high as 200%.

Did Marc
get it
wrong?
How?

 George Fareed Retweeted



Jean-Pierre K. @jpkiekens · Oct 22



Marc Wathelet, PhD, in this letter to the Belgian health minister, questions the official response to COVID-19, including the "Safe Ticket" and the mandates. His analysis is largely applicable to other jurisdictions. The annexes contain extensive analysis. covexit.com/letter-by-marc...



Letter by Marc Wathelet, PhD, to the Belgian Minister of Health

Posted on October 20, 2021

This letter from Marc Wathelet, PhD, Expert in Molecular Biology and Immunology, is addressed to the Belgian Minister of Health, Frank Vandenbroucke, and analyzes not



The wheels just came off the bus. The FDA and CDC had been relying on data from Israel. Now we have Israeli physicians, scientists advising the FDA of 'severe concerns' regarding reliability and legality of official Israeli COVID vaccine data.

The FDA and CDC will ignore the letter. That's their MO. So will Congress. Only Senator Rand Paul will notice.

Have you [read their letter?](#)

Covexit @Covexit
2h · 🌐

"We believe that the significant failures underlying the Israeli database, which have been brought to our attention by numerous testimonies, impair its reliability and legality to such an extent that it should not be used for making any critical decisions regarding the COVID-19 vaccines," the letter said. wnd.com/2021/10/4954710/

'Severe concerns': Israeli scientists sound alarm to FDA concerning COVID vaccine
As the U.S. Food and Drug Administration discusses administering COVID-19 vaccines to young children, an independent group of Israeli physicians, lawyers, scientists...

WND [View Link Feed](#)

6 likes 3 reposts

Like Comment Repost Quote Share

20X increase
in cancers

Anything to
worry about?

02:37 @ 47%

Moderna's Top S...
technocracy.news

Interestingly, one of the most potentially catastrophic side effects of the mRNA vaccine is its interaction with cancer cells. According to a study at New York City-based Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, the mRNA has a tendency to **inactivate tumor-suppressing proteins**, meaning it can promote the growth of cancer cells.

Both the Moderna and Pfizer injections are experimental mRNA vaccines. The FDA has only granted these injections Emergency Use Authorization [EUA] and they will remain in trials through 2023, yet the government, media and corporations are all promoting them as though they are guaranteed safe.

This systemic deception will, in my opinion, end up being judged in the rear-view mirror of history as one of the most reckless acts of medical treachery ever committed against the human race.

If this so-called vaccine does cause more people to get cancer, think of the possibilities from a purely business point of view.

← Tweet

 **Jacob Cabe**
@jacobcabe

BREAKING: Dr. Ryan Cole, Idaho pathologist and owner and operator of a diagnostics lab, reports a '20 times increase' of cancer in vaccinated patients

 64.1K views 0:06 / 2:12

10:19 AM · Sep 15, 2021 · Twitter for iPhone


1,940 Retweets 308 Quote Tweets 2,346 Likes

Isn't it time to update the rules so that **only unvaccinated people over 30 can be** treated by hospitals or fly on airplanes?

That seems like the best way to protect people.

Figure 2. Rates (per 100,000) by vaccination status from week 38 to week 41 2021

(a) COVID-19 cases



3 likes 2 reposts

Dr. Lee's letter (page 1)

“I have never witnessed so many vaccine-related injuries until this year.”

Funny, that's what the VAERS data says too. Maybe we shouldn't keep ignoring it like the mainstream media and fact-checkers tell us???

Source: [SENT VIA EMAIL October 6, 2021 Dr. Peter Marks Director, CBER Food & Drug Administration 10903 New Hampshire Avenue, W071](#)

Dr. Peter Marks
Director, CBER
Food & Drug Administration
10903 New Hampshire Avenue
W071-3128
Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002
Email: Peter.Marks@fda.hhs.gov

Dr. Tom Shimabukuro
COVID-19 Vaccine Task Force
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
1600 Clifton Road, NE
Corporate Square, Bldg 12
Atlanta, GA 30329
Email: ayv6@cdc.gov

September 28, 2021

Dear Dr. Marks and Dr. Shimabukuro,

As a physician, I am compelled by conscience to write this letter. I am fully vaccinated for Covid-19, but my experience this year treating patients in a busy ICU does not comport with claims made by federal health authorities regarding the safety of Covid-19 vaccines.

I am a licensed physician practicing in the state of California. I obtained my medical degree from University of Southern California and received my post-graduate training at Georgetown University and Harvard-affiliated hospitals. I have been a doctor for more than twenty years and I have never witnessed so many vaccine-related injuries until this year. As a fully vaccinated physician, I feel pained in admitting this. But I am compelled by conscience to state the facts as I observe them on the frontlines.

The following are a few illustrative examples of Covid-19 vaccine related injuries I have observed firsthand. While causation is difficult to prove definitively, it is my clinical judgment that each of these injuries were caused by a Covid-19 vaccine, because there was no other plausible explanation for these injuries other than the fact that the patients had recently been vaccinated. I had a direct doctor-patient relationship for each of the patient accounts below and have removed all personal identifiable information. To further assure patient anonymity, certain medical but inconsequential details have been withheld or changed to ensure the absence of any PII.

1. An otherwise healthy patient under age 40 developed low back pain and had an episode of urinary incontinence after receiving a Covid-19 vaccine. The day after the second dose, the patient felt numbness and tingling down one leg. The symptoms rapidly progressed such that a few days later, patient was admitted to the hospital for bilateral leg paralysis. MRI showed transverse myelitis. Weekly follow-up imaging showed that the process continued to worsen and ascend, despite maximal medical therapy. Eventually patient became quadriplegic, blind and had a tracheostomy placed. Patient developed autonomic dysfunction (irregular heart rate and hypotension) and became cognitively impaired.
2. A generally healthy patient in the early seventies, with no smoking history or prior lung disease, received a Covid-19 vaccine and developed generalized malaise with a poor appetite and a new cough. According to the spouse, patient lost >15 lbs during this time period. The cough worsened over the course of the next month and the patient was hospitalized. CT scan of the chest showed bilateral diffuse ground-glass opacities, typical of COVID pneumonia. However, patient was

Iceland
Sweden
Finland
Denmark
Norway

All either suspend or recommend against using Moderna for young people. **Do they know something we don't know??**

🕒 OCTOBER 8, 2021

Iceland halts Moderna jabs over heart-inflammation fears



Credit: Unsplash/CC0 Public Domain

Iceland on Friday suspended the Moderna anti-COVID vaccine, citing the slight increased risks of cardiac inflammation, going further than its Nordic neighbours which simply limited use of the jabs.

What does Sweden know that we don't?

Will any evidence change Biden's mission to vaccinate everyone?

Moderna is stopped for anyone under 31.



Robert W Malone, MD
@RWMaloneMD



Sweden Suspends Moderna Shot Indefinitely After Vaxxed Patients Develop Crippling Heart Condition



westernjournal.com

Sweden Suspends Moderna Shot Indefinitely After Vaxxed Patients Develop Cr...
Several countries have responded to fears about the Moderna vaccine by banning its use among young adults.

There are 28M kids 5 to 11.

Shouldn't we be super careful here?

How many deaths will we prevent?

How can you be absolutely sure deaths from vaccine aren't > deaths from COVID like all the data says?



2.4K

4.5K

13.1K



**This new data seems a little troubling.
How do you explain it?**

New post on **The Expose**



Fully Vaccinated are suffering far higher rates of infection than the Unvaccinated, and it is getting worse by the day; there is no justification for Vaccine Passports

by [Daily Expose](#)

IT'S OFFICIAL: Most of the UK's vaccinated population are suffering far higher rates of infection than the unvaccinated, and it is getting worse by the day. By Martin Zandstra The UK's Health Security Agency publishes detailed Covid statistics, which, for the last 7 weeks, have been tabulated by age-group and vaccination status. This now allows [...]

[Read more of this post](#)

Why are there similar dropout rates in the -007 study?

(just like in the main trial... but this time it is 6X bigger)

Table 12. Efficacy Populations – Phase 2/3 Initial Enrollment Group – 5 to <12 Years of Age

	Vaccine Group (as Randomized)		Total n ^a (%)
	BNT162b2 10 µg n ^a (%)	Placebo n ^a (%)	
Randomized ^b	1528 (100.0)	757 (100.0)	2285 (100.0)
Dose 1 all-available efficacy population	1517 (99.3)	751 (99.2)	2268 (99.3)
Participants without evidence of infection before Dose 1	1384 (90.6)	686 (90.6)	2070 (90.6)
Participants excluded from Dose 1 all-available efficacy population	11 (0.7)	6 (0.8)	17 (0.7)
Reason for exclusion ^c			
Did not receive at least 1 vaccination	11 (0.7)	6 (0.8)	17 (0.7)
Dose 2 all-available efficacy population	1514 (99.1)	747 (98.7)	2261 (98.9)
Participants without evidence of infection prior to 7 days after Dose 2	1362 (89.1)	671 (88.6)	2033 (89.0)
Participants excluded from Dose 2 all-available efficacy population	14 (0.9)	10 (1.3)	24 (1.1)
Reason for exclusion ^c			
Did not receive 2 vaccinations	14 (0.9)	10 (1.3)	24 (1.1)
Evaluable efficacy population	1450 (94.9)	736 (97.2)	2186 (95.7)
Participants without evidence of infection prior to 7 days after Dose 2	1305 (85.4)	663 (87.6)	1968 (86.1)
Participants excluded from evaluable efficacy population	78 (5.1)	21 (2.8)	99 (4.3)
Reason for exclusion ^c			
Did not receive all vaccinations as randomized or did not receive Dose 2 within the predefined window (19-42 days after Dose 1)	31 (2.0)	18 (2.4)	49 (2.1)
Had other important protocol deviations on or prior to 7 days after Dose 2	47 (3.1)	4 (0.5)	51 (2.2)

a. n = Number of participants with the specified characteristic.

b. These values are the denominators for the percentage calculations.

c. Participants may have been excluded for more than 1 reason.

Why are there similar dropout rates in the -007 study?

(just like in the main trial... but this time it is 6X bigger)

Table 12. Efficacy Populations – Phase 2/3 Initial Enrollment Group – 5 to <12 Years of Age

	Vaccine Group (as Randomized)		Total n ^a (%)
	BNT162b2 10 µg n ^a (%)	Placebo n ^a (%)	
Randomized ^b	1528 (100.0)	757 (100.0)	2285 (100.0)
Dose 1 all-available efficacy population	1517 (99.3)	751 (99.2)	2268 (99.3)
Participants without evidence of infection before Dose 1	1384 (90.6)	686 (90.6)	2070 (90.6)
Participants excluded from Dose 1 all-available efficacy population	11 (0.7)	6 (0.8)	17 (0.7)
Reason for exclusion ^c			
Did not receive at least 1 vaccination	11 (0.7)	6 (0.8)	17 (0.7)
Dose 2 all-available efficacy population	1514 (99.1)	747 (98.7)	2261 (98.9)
Participants without evidence of infection prior to 7 days after Dose 2	1362 (89.1)	671 (88.6)	2033 (89.0)
Participants excluded from Dose 2 all-available efficacy population	14 (0.9)	10 (1.3)	24 (1.1)
Reason for exclusion ^c			
Did not receive 2 vaccinations	14 (0.9)	10 (1.3)	24 (1.1)
Evaluable efficacy population	1450 (94.9)	736 (97.2)	2186 (95.7)
Participants without evidence of infection prior to 7 days after Dose 2	1305 (85.4)	663 (87.6)	1968 (86.1)
Participants excluded from evaluable efficacy population	78 (5.1)	21 (2.8)	99 (4.3)
Reason for exclusion ^c			
Did not receive all vaccinations as randomized or did not receive	31 (2.0)	18 (2.4)	49 (2.1)
Dose 2 within the predefined window (19-42 days after Dose 1)			
Had other important protocol deviations on or prior to 7 days after Dose 2	47 (3.1)	4 (0.5)	51 (2.2)

a. n = Number of participants with the specified characteristic.

b. These values are the denominators for the percentage calculations.

c. Participants may have been excluded for more than 1 reason.

Nothing to see here?

“If vaccine injuries are the reasons for these unexplained exclusions, then absolute efficacy numbers are overwhelmed by vaccine injuries, and the experimental biologic inoculation products are dangerous.”

--[Mathew Crawford](#)

So... what were the reasons for the exclusions?

Table 14. Vaccine Efficacy – First COVID-19 Occurrence After Dose 1 – Phase 2/3 Initial Enrollment Group – 5 to <12 Years of Age – Dose 1 All-Available Efficacy Population

Efficacy Endpoint Subgroup	Vaccine Group (as Randomized)				VE (%)	(95% CI ^e)
	BNT162b2 10 µg (N ^a =1517)		Placebo (N ^a =751)			
	n1 ^b	Surveillance Time ^c (n2 ^d)	n1 ^b	Surveillance Time ^c (n2 ^d)		
First COVID-19 occurrence after Dose 1	3	0.483 (1463)	17	0.235 (719)	91.4	(70.4, 98.4)
Dose 1 to before Dose 2	0	0.086 (1463)	1	0.043 (719)	100.0	(-1832.5, 100.0)
Dose 2 to <7 days after Dose 2	0	0.028 (1461)	0	0.014 (714)	NE	NE
≥7 Days after Dose 2	3	0.369 (1461)	16	0.178 (714)	90.9	(68.3, 98.3)

Abbreviations: NE = not estimable; VE = vaccine efficacy.

- N = number of participants in the specified group.
- n1 = Number of participants meeting the endpoint definition.
- Total surveillance time in 1000 person-years for the given endpoint across all participants within each group at risk for the endpoint. Time period for COVID-19 case accrual is from Dose 1 to the end of the surveillance period for the overall row and from start to the end of range stated for each interval.
- n2 = Number of participants at risk for the endpoint.
- Two-sided 95% confidence interval (CI) for VE is derived based on the Clopper and Pearson method adjusted for surveillance time.

Where is the troponin data in the Pfizer -007 study (5-11 year old)?

(Sure, it was supposed to be there and it's missing, but nobody will notice. The mainstream media will never ask about it. Guaranteed.)

If you were hospitalized, which drug would you take and why?

Do you support the FDA choice?

	Melatonin (pills, OTC)	Remdesivir (intravenous injection)
Manufacturer	Many	Gilead
Patent	No	Yes
COVID-19 trials	11	24
Peer-reviewed and published	10	18
Evidence base for FDA approval for in-hospital Covid-19 treatment		
	Melatonin (4 trials by Oct. 26, 2021)	Remdesivir (1 trial by May 1, 2020)
Number of patients	1650	1062
Mortality Risk Reduction	79%	26%
95% Confidence Interval	44% to 92%	-1% to 46%
Safety	Very safe	Some concerns: bradycardia, kidney injury
Price per treatment	<5 US dollars	2500-3100 US dollars
Fauci's statement	Crickets	"This will be the standard of care"
Has FDA authorized it?	Crickets	Yes, on May 1, 2020 based on the 1 trial above
Billion dollar deals with big governments	Crickets	USA, EU, India, etc.

**How can be so sure
that Geert is wrong?
He's been right about
everything so far...**

“Unless virology and immunology are being rewritten, I cannot imagine how mass vaccination of our youngsters and children will not lead to an even more disastrous outcome of all the scientifically irrational and unjustifiable vaccination efforts. Not only will these dramatically increase the children’s risk to succumb to (accelerated) Covid-19 disease but it will also take away the highly efficient capacity of healthy, unvaccinated people to diminish the dangerous, ever rising viral infectious pressure in the population. By vaccinating our youngsters, children and, even more generally, all people in excellent health, we deprive an important part of the population from its ‘anti-viral’ capacity and instead turn them into a breeding ground for more infectious and increasingly NAb-resistant variants. In other words, mass vaccination of children will inevitably obstruct the process of building herd immunity in the population.

There can be no doubt that large scale immune interventions which ignore the immune pathogenesis of the disease are **recipes for massive disasters.”**

[Geert Vanden Bossche](#)

Boris Johnson has said the vaccines don't prevent infection or passing it on.

Why are vaccine passports and/or mandates needed then?

How stupid are people?



Mandates are presumably needed to protect the vaccinated. But where is the math so we can do the risk/benefit analysis?

If I don't get vaccinated, how many other people am I projected to kill?

If I do get vaccinated, how many other people am I projected to kill?

Why is there **no analysis of this anywhere?**

Note: Since the vaccine doesn't stop transmission, there appears to be little benefit to vaccine mandates. In fact, it is likely more beneficial for society for the unvaccinated to acquire recovered immunity, right? Have you seen the calculations?



Can you explain this to me?

“COVID vaccine mandates are necessary because the protected need to be protected from the unprotected by forcing the unprotected to use the protection that didn't protect the protected.”



Was the “public safety quit factor” included into the calculation of risk/benefit of mandates?



Chuck Callesto  @ChuckCallesto · 19m



BREAKING REPORT: State Senator Lisa Kim says "There will be 911 CALLS THAT GO UNANSWERED in the state of Maine" after 12 midnight due to Vaccine mandates...

 37

 299

 443



Robert W Malone, MD Retweeted



Brian Tyson, MD

@btysonmd



Still think we are the few?



Scootercaster @ScooterCasterNY · 8h

"Hold The Line" Chant what appears to be thousand of municipal workers including FDNY, EMS, NYPD and SDNY #HappeningNow in Brooklyn Bridge

[Show this thread](#)



10:09 AM · Oct 25, 2021 · Twitter for iPhone

1,145 Retweets · 24 Quote Tweets · 2,934 Likes

Shouldn't we be worried that vaccinated people who later get the natural virus end up with **lower immunity to the virus** compared to an unvaxed person?



URGENT: Covid vaccines will keep you from acquiring full immunity EVEN IF YOU ARE INFECTED AND RECOVER

Alex Berenson Oct 21

Don't take it from me, I don't even get to tweet anymore.

Take it from a little place I call the British government. Which admitted today, in its newest vaccine surveillance report, that:

"N antibody levels appear to be lower in people who acquire infection following two doses of vaccination." (Page 23)


assets.publishing.service.gov.uk

natural infection than age matched individuals in the general population (ii) waning of the N antibody response over time and (iii) recent observations from UK Health Security Agency (UKHSA) surveillance data that N antibody levels appear to be lower in individuals who acquire infection following 2 doses of vaccination.

Source: [COVID-19 vaccine surveillance report - week 42](#) (UK government)

Uh... Isn't the line supposed to slope the other way?

Don't feel too bad; Chris Martenson couldn't figure this one out either.



Copy link

Line Goes The Wrong Way

Vaccinated %

6:56 / 21:59 • "No Discernible Relationship"

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8481107/>

⏸ 🔊 📺 ⚙️ 📶 🖱️

Source: ["No Discernable Relationship" between Vaccines and Cases](#)

Do we have OCD on COVID deaths?

If we want to save kids, why not focus efforts on vehicle safety?



→ Marc Benton ←
@marc_benton

Replying to @CovidMemo

A risk assessment for our kids that was done by a friend of mine. The data is very clear. A child is 111X more likely to die from being in a car accident than from Covid. #NoToVaccineMandates

Calculation for Children (0-17 yrs old in US):

- 1) Death rates of children from CV-19.
- 2) Calculating children's (1 in X) risk of dying from CV-19 in the next year.
- 3) Comparing children's "17 Most Likely Causes of Death", so in order to compare a child's relative risk.
- 4) Continuing (X Times More Likely), compared to most children's normal daily activities.

1) - US Children COVID-19 Deaths (0-17 yrs old)...

EDC Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Data.CDC.gov
US Children Population: 74,111,876 (2019, 2020, 2021, 2022)

Figure 1. Provisional COVID-19 deaths by age group, by...

Age group	Children 0-17 years
0-4 years	10
5-9 years	10
10-14 years	10
15-17 years	10
Total	567 Child Deaths (0-17 yrs old over 22 months of 2020-21)

578 Deaths over 22 months = 320 Deaths/Yr

2) - The (1 in X) Risks...

For Children (Overall)...	For HEALTHY* Children...
320 Deaths / 74,111,876 Children = 0.00043% Death Rate	19 Deaths* / 74,111,876 Children = 0.000026% Death Rate
A Child (Overall) has a chance of 1 in 231,599 of dying from COVID-19	A "Healthy" child has a chance of 1 in 3,900,625 of dying from COVID-19

* CDC reports 94% of COVID-19-related deaths to have at least one comorbidity present, per Johns Hopkins ASHC Report (April 15, 2021)
* "Healthy" - Assumes 94% of deaths were of people with at least one comorbidity ("Unhealthy"), then the above "healthy" child would represent the remaining 6% which had no comorbidities. (e.g. - 6% of 320 deaths = 19 deaths/y)

3) - US Children's Risk of Death from Top 7 Causes (2018)

Causes of Death	0-4 yr	5-9 yr	10-14 yr	15-17 yr	Total Deaths	1 in "X" (1 in all of the 17 causes than a "Healthy" child is at risk of dying)	"X Times More Likely"
01 Auto Accidents (Non-fatal & fatal)	1,049	714	519	1,710	4,992	1 in 16,807	232 x
02 Suicide	0	0	2,044	2,000	4,044	1 in 45,217	80 x
03 Homicide	799	525	551	599	1,509	1 in 47,250	83 x
04 Cancer	599	602	619	205	1,470	1 in 50,433	77 x
05 Birth Defects (Congenital Anomalies)	800	290	299	94	891	1 in 83,176	67 x
06 Heart Disease	581	71	67	244	455	1 in 162,880	26 x
07 Influenza & Pneumonia (Overall Infection)	99	21	21	99	320	1 in 231,599	17 x
08 All Causes (Including COVID-19 Deaths)	6	18	18	18	15	1 in 3,900,625	-
Other Causes (Including COVID-19 Deaths)	1,102	106	875	194	3,269	1 in 20,471	189 x
All Causes	6,676	2,030	2,044	2,019	14,302	1 in 5,182	75 x

4) - US Children's Risk of Death from Daily Activities

Causes of Death	0-4 yr	5-9 yr	10-14 yr	15-17 yr	Total Deaths	1 in "X" (1 in all of the 17 causes than a "Healthy" child is at risk of dying)	"X Times More Likely"
Auto Accidents	292	213	600	219	2,124	1 in 96,868	131 x
Choking	1,294	0	0	0	1,294	1 in 57,273	58 x
SDS	1,350	0	0	0	1,350	1 in 59,290	66 x
Poisoning	730	0	0	0	730	1 in 101,058	38 x
Pedestrian	66	66	100	106	432	1 in 171,555	23 x
Drowning	221	0	0	0	221	1 in 335,348	13 x
Biking	100	0	0	0	100	1 in 741,119	5 x
School Shooting/Violence	0	0	0	0	56	1 in 1,323,426	3 x
Progression	15	0	0	0	15	1 in 4,940,792	0.8 x
All Causes (Including COVID-19 Deaths)	4	18	18	18	15	1 in 3,900,625	-

If people aren't dying from the jab, then why did Safeway pharmacist Nichole Belland resign vocally saying "I will not give this poison to people"?

She observed significantly higher deaths from the vaccines than from COVID.

Alexander Higgins @kr3at · Oct 20

🚩 "I will not give this poison to people. I have seen my customers died"
- Pharmacist and 10 Year Cortez Colorado Safeway Pharmacy Manager Resigns With Viral Speech




0:09 55.5K views

14 145 322

If the vaccine works so well, then why are 40% of all COVID deaths in America last week vaccinated?

Answer: we are starting to “catch up” with Israel.



Date: October 22, 2021

If the vaccine is so safe, how come **more than 60%** have elevated D-dimer levels, some lasting for months?

How come this was never measured in any of the trials?

CANADIAN DOCTOR: 62% OF PATIENTS VACCINATED FOR COVID HAVE PERMANENT HEART DAMAGE

WATCH



163197 742 10

A top neurologist in California (who is afraid to speak out publicly due to fear of retribution) reports that 10% of her 20,000 clients had vaccine injuries that should be reported to VAERS.

This suggests that the adverse event rate could be as high as 10%. Isn't that kind of high for a "safe" vaccine?



The typical hospital would rarely, if ever, have children in the ICU due to COVID.

But once the vaccines were rolled out, **vaccine-injured children are now commonplace in the ICU.**

Why is that?



Why are the vaccinated more and more likely to contract COVID as time goes on? It can't be just waning vaccine efficacy because it goes negative.

SCAMDEMIC - CORONA VIRUS

Colin Powell: Vaxx-Caused Immunodeficiency

OCTOBER 18, 2021 ALGORA BLOG 6 COMMENTS

Spread the Word



via [The Expose](#)

Latest UK PHE Vaccine Surveillance Report figures on Covid cases show that doubly vaccinated 40-70 year olds have lost 40% of their immune system capability compared to unvaccinated people. Their immune systems are deteriorating at around 5% per week (between 2.7% and 8.7%). If this continues then 30-50 year olds will have 100% immune system degradation, zero viral defence by Christmas and all doubly vaccinated people over 30 will have lost their immune systems by March next year.

By a concerned reader

The 5 PHE tables below from their excellent Vaccine Surveillance Report, separated by 4 weeks, clearly show the progressive damage that the vaccines are doing to the immune system's response.

People aged 40-69 have already lost 40% of their immune system capability and are losing it progressively at 3.3% to 6.4% per week.

For kids < 19 years old, the average survival rate of COVID is 99.9973% per John Ioannidis.

Doesn't this mean we should be mandating vaccination for pretty much every disease that can kill people, right?

Clearly, we will do whatever it takes to prevent any death from any disease, no matter how many people we have to kill to do it. It's no longer about spread and risking others (since vaccines don't prevent that). It's all about just saving lives lost from COVID now, no matter how many people we have to kill to do that.



Why are these moms
fighting back?

The CDC says the
vaccines are perfectly
safe.

Today 4:16 AM

Three high school athletes died of sudden cardiac arrest. Their moms are fighting back.



West
High School



Mark Mayfield
New Prairie High School



Zac Ma
John Glen Hig

Three high school athletes died of sudden cardiac arrest. Their moms are fighting back.

newsbreakapp.com

If the CDC can't even figure out that **masks don't work**, why should we trust them on vaccines?



The CDC thinks VAERS is fully reported. How do they explain this?



PennyWittbrodt, 38 minutes ago

So out of the 5 major hospitals in our area, at least 4 are not reporting at all or are telling me they are only reporting specific cases. No doctor or hospital I've spoken with has told me they are reporting issues even when they BELIEVE the vaccine is causal. Also, people don't just get on and make vaers reports to skew numbers and as a nurse (retired) I can tell you people aren't making false reports because the first screen that pops up before you begin the report is that you will be convicted for giving any false info. People back out of reporting, not because they had planned to misrepresent a vaccine reaction, they back out because they are scared that if they get a detail wrong they will be prosecuted. Doctors have told me they don't have time to report and have been told nothing of reporting requirements. The CDC made that mandate and apparently didn't advise hospitals, doctors etc. I'm not sure how a doctor would know he is required to report. There's no way he could know unless he happens to read it on cdc. Nothing has gone out to inform of this requirement.

+ - 2 rumbles

DELETE REPLY

If the UK data supports vaccination as being safe and effective, **why** did they change the reporting format so nobody can tell what is going on?



Manu Herold

3:07 AM Today



CHECK OUT THE CURRENT UK DATA -->

UK REMOVED THE "PER 100,000" BECAUSE MORE PEOPLE ARE AFFECTED WHEN VACCINATED – EVEN DEATHS!!!!

"Per 100,000" has been moved from table 2 to table 5 to twist the narrative via ridiculous disclaimers.

REPORT 43, NOT SHOWING 100,000 -->

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/1029606/Vaccine-surveillance-report-week-43.pdf



Manu Herold

3:13 AM Today

they also removed the visual that shows the comparison between vaxxed and unvaxxed so well. See prior report https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/1027511/Vaccine-surveillance-report-week-42.pdf?
[fbclid=IwAR0CgrcrL5jcTfdf0fqhocAgF_Eb8sQhKv93bDrxZ5qLi843zNFHyQ6fUXY](https://www.facebook.com/ManuHerold/posts/10158432NFHyQ6fUXY)

How can a safe vaccine cause all these side effects?



PennyWittbrodt, 6 hours ago

Poor Yuri. He thinks all hospitalizations and deaths are being reported??? The VA in Cincinnati has not reported even 1 post vaccine hospitalization (all of which are required to be reported regardless of whether the doctor thinks the vaccine is causal or not.) My mother who is 71 developed myocarditis within 24 hours of jab 2. The hospital refused to report it. My brother got J&J and within 24 hours his normally stable blood sugars (under 150) climbed to the mid 200s and are still that high despite no change in diet and a doubling of his medications. Additionally his triglycerides which were 230 or less for the past 7 years. After the vaccine his triglycerides shot up over 800. They've doubled his medication. He still isn't back to baseline. His doctor has not reported it. Baptist Hospital staff in Lexington ky told me they were told the only things they had to report to vaers was anaphylaxis or myocarditis in patients under 30. The VA doesn't even have a system set up to allow them to report to VAERS. They are just now even talking about how to report.

 5 rumbles

DELETE REPLY

Why hasn't the CDC spotted kidney failure as a side effect? Kidneys were pretty important last time I checked.



chocolatehound, 5 hours ago

I am the medical POA for an elderly friend who went into acute kidney failure just a day or two after receiving her first Pfizer vaccine dose. I never brought up the fact that she had recently had the Pfizer vaccine, it was the doctors at the hospital treating her who brought it up and told me they believed the kidney failure had been caused by the vaccine.

Two and a half months later she was discharged from the skilled nursing center (after almost 3 weeks hospitalized). I took her to see a respected medical professor at a very well known teaching hospital and I asked him if he agreed that the kidney failure had been set triggered by the vaccine, he agreed.

He explained that it caused her immune system to essentially 'go crazy' (he dumbed it down for me). It turned out she had an undiagnosed autoimmune condition and it's known that those with AI disease can sometimes experience serious side effects from the Covid-19 vaccines. I discussed this with a rheumatologist who is in the top of her field and holds a senior position in AI research and she didn't object to the previous doctors assessments of my friend's experience.

I'm sure some people would argue... "well Covid-19 can cause problems too for those with AI disease". That misses the point.

I wonder if the doctors at the hospital where she was treated submitted a VAERS?

+ - 6 rumbles

DELETE REPLY

Why is everyone scared to be interviewed by me?

I can't even get anyone prominent (50K Twitter followers or more) to consent to be interviewed about "vaccine safety" **where all I do is ask questions!**



OK, so what really did kill this teen then?

And why don't they tell us the actual cause of death for these 60 cases???



Galaxy @notabotautobot · Sep 30



Replying to @stkirsch

Going on inNewZealand. 17 yr old does and this is how they report it. Plus 59 other deaths after the vax. Our database is called CARM like the VAERS one.



[rnz.co.nz](https://www.rnz.co.nz)

Medsafe believes Pfizer vaccine not factor in death of teen

Medsafe has recorded the death of a 17-year-old following their first Covid-19 vaccine but it believes the dose was not a factor.



How come there weren't
~110 deaths in each
arm?

Could they have
“picked” a super-healthy
cohort by “accident”?



The screenshot shows the top portion of a web page from The New England Journal of Medicine. At the top left is the journal's logo and name. To the right is a yellow 'SUBSCRIBE OR RENEW' button with a right-pointing arrow and a small image of a tablet. Further right are icons for a user profile and a menu. Below the header is a row of three article teasers. The first is an 'ORIGINAL ARTICLE' titled 'Obesity-Associated GNAS Mutations and the Melanocortin Pathway' with a small bar chart icon. The second is an 'EDITORIAL' titled 'Racial Disparities in Clinical Medicine' with a blue icon. The third is an 'EDITORIAL' titled 'Audio Interview: Are Covid-19 Vaccine Boosters Necessary?' with a right-pointing arrow icon. The main article section below is for an 'ORIGINAL ARTICLE' titled 'Safety and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine through 6 Months'. The authors listed are Stephen J. Thomas, M.D., Edson D. Moreira, Jr., M.D., Nicholas Kitchin, M.D., Judith Absalon, M.D., Alejandra Gurtman, M.D., Stephen Lockhart, D.M., John L. Perez, M.D., Gonzalo Pérez Marc, M.D., Fernando P. Polack, M.D., Cristiano Zerbini, M.D., Ruth Bailey, B.Sc., Kena A. Swanson, Ph.D., et al., for the C4591001 Clinical Trial Group. The date is September 15, 2021, and the DOI is 10.1056/NEJMoa2110345.

During the blinded, controlled period, 15 BNT162b2 and 14 placebo recipients died; during the open-label period, 3 BNT162b2 and 2 original placebo recipients who received BNT162b2 after unblinding died. None of these deaths were considered related to BNT162b2 by investigators. Causes of death were balanced between BNT162b2 and placebo groups (Table S4).

How come there weren't
~110 deaths in each
arm?

Could they have
“picked” a super-healthy
cohort by “accident”?

The screenshot shows the top portion of a web page from The New England Journal of Medicine. At the top left is the journal's logo and name. To the right is a yellow 'SUBSCRIBE OR RENEW' button with a right-pointing arrow and a small image of a document. Further right are icons for a user profile and a menu. Below the header is a row of three article teasers. The first is an 'ORIGINAL ARTICLE' titled 'Obesity-Associated GNAS Mutations and the Melanocortin Pathway' with a small bar chart icon. The second is 'Racial Disparities in Clinical Medicine' with a blue icon. The third is an 'EDITORIAL' titled 'Audio Interview: Are Covid-19 Vaccine Boosters Necessary?' with a right-pointing arrow. The main article section is titled 'ORIGINAL ARTICLE' and features the title 'Safety and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine through 6 Months'. Below the title is a list of authors: Stephen J. Thomas, M.D., Edson D. Moreira, Jr., M.D., Nicholas Kitchin, M.D., Judith Absalon, M.D., Alejandra Gurtman, M.D., Stephen Lockhart, D.M., John L. Perez, M.D., Gonzalo Pérez Marc, M.D., Fernando P. Polack, M.D., Cristiano Zerbini, M.D., Ruth Bailey, B.Sc., Kena A. Swanson, Ph.D., et al., for the C4591001 Clinical Trial Group[†]. At the bottom left of the article section, the date 'September 15, 2021' and the DOI '10.1056/NEJMoa2110345' are displayed.

During the blinded, controlled period, 15 BNT162b2 and 14 placebo recipients died; during the open-label period, 3 BNT162b2 and 2 original placebo recipients who received BNT162b2 after unblinding died. None of these deaths were considered related to BNT162b2 by investigators. Causes of death were balanced between BNT162b2 and placebo groups (Table S4).

How can the CDC deny that recovered immunity is superior in every way to vaccine immunity?


Why force recovered people to get vaccinated?

Why the CDC Ignores Natural Immunity

A few of the (entirely unconvincing) reasons the CDC is ignoring the science on this question, and what is being done to change this



Aaron Kheriaty, MD
1 hr ago 16 1



The science on the efficacy and durability of natural immunity is now overwhelming. Yet the CDC continues to recommend lifting restrictions on the vaccinated but not those who have recovered from Covid and have superior natural immunity. Vaccine

Are 91 studies
enough evidence
or do we need
even more
studies?



Robert W Malone, MD @RWMaloneMD · 12m

Well researched!

"91 Research Studies Affirm Naturally Acquired Immunity to Covid-19: Documented, Linked, and Quoted"

BY PAUL ELIAS ALEXANDER

OCTOBER 17, 2021



[brownstone.org](https://www.brownstone.org)

91 Research Studies Affirm Naturally Acquired Immunity to Covid-19: ...

This follow-up chart is a list of 91 scientific studies and evidence on natural immunity to allow you to draw your own conclusions..

11

364

669



This Oct 22 CDC study claims the vaccines can cut your death rate from ALL CAUSES by up to 72%.

That's impossible. [33% of deaths of 25-44 are from accidents](#). How can the CDC publish this junk?

How come nobody at the FDA, CDC, NIH, and in medical academia is saying a word that this paper is garbage? Seriously?!

If you want proof the CDC is lying about vaccine safety, this paper delivers it.

TABLE 2. Number of deaths and standardized mortality rate (deaths per 100 person-years) not associated with COVID-19 among COVID-19 vaccine recipients and unvaccinated comparison groups, by age, sex, and race/ethnicity — seven integrated health care organizations, United States, December 14, 2020–July 31, 2021

Characteristic	No. of deaths* (standardized mortality rate per 100 person-years)						
	mRNA vaccine				Unvaccinated comparison group [§]	Janssen vaccine	
	Pfizer-BioNTech vaccine recipients [†]		Moderna vaccine recipients [†]			Vaccine recipients [¶]	Unvaccinated comparison group [§]
	After dose 1	After dose 2	After dose 1	After dose 2			
Overall**	1,157 (0.42)	5,143 (0.35)	1,202 (0.37)	4,434 (0.34)	6,660 (1.11)	671 (0.84)	2,219 (1.47)
Age group, ^{††} yrs							
12–17	2 (0.01)	3 (0.01)	NA	NA	7 (0.01)	NA	NA
18–44	20 (0.02)	73 (0.02)	24 (0.03)	57 (0.02)	161 (0.07)	19 (0.04)	63 (0.08)
45–64	117 (0.16)	409 (0.13)	123 (0.16)	421 (0.17)	910 (0.51)	130 (0.25)	497 (0.66)
65–74	235 (0.79)	994 (0.62)	249 (0.63)	920 (0.58)	1,407 (2.13)	144 (1.49)	466 (2.77)
75–84	338 (2.32)	1,591 (1.89)	376 (2.00)	1,425 (1.77)	1,861 (6.34)	176 (5.59)	549 (9.13)
≥85	445 (7.90)	2,073 (6.85)	430 (7.16)	1,611 (6.57)	2,314 (18.76)	202 (15.35)	644 (23.76)
Sex ^{§§}							
Male	587 (0.49)	2,584 (0.41)	640 (0.45)	2,352 (0.42)	3,265 (1.30)	326 (0.96)	1,102 (1.68)
Female	570 (0.35)	2,559 (0.29)	562 (0.30)	2,082 (0.28)	3,395 (0.96)	345 (0.75)	1,117 (1.31)
Race/Ethnicity**							
Hispanic	144 (0.36)	584 (0.29)	197 (0.35)	701 (0.33)	1,230 (1.07)	92 (0.91)	365 (1.24)
White, non-Hispanic	781 (0.47)	3,560 (0.39)	732 (0.39)	2,804 (0.37)	3,993 (1.17)	416 (0.85)	1,364 (1.58)
Asian, non-Hispanic	72 (0.23)	408 (0.23)	67 (0.18)	317 (0.21)	460 (0.78)	56 (0.83)	157 (1.09)
Black, non-Hispanic	84 (0.54)	300 (0.37)	130 (0.65)	340 (0.44)	623 (1.53)	65 (0.99)	187 (1.97)
Multiple races/Other/Unknown	76 (0.38)	291 (0.28)	76 (0.32)	272 (0.29)	354 (0.82)	42 (0.68)	146 (1.22)

Abbreviations: Janssen = Johnson & Johnson; NA = not applicable.

* Number of deaths as of July 31, 2021; deaths that occurred ≤30 days after an incident COVID-19 diagnosis or receipt of a positive SARS-CoV-2 test result were excluded.

† Vaccinated with mRNA COVID-19 vaccines during December 14, 2020–May 31, 2021.

§ Unvaccinated comparison group included unvaccinated persons and COVID-19 vaccine recipients before COVID-19 vaccination. The assignment of index dates allowed COVID-19 vaccinees to contribute unvaccinated person-time before vaccination, thus avoiding immortal time bias.

¶ Vaccinated with Janssen COVID-19 vaccine during February 27, 2021–May 31, 2021.

** Overall mortality rates and race- and ethnicity-specific mortality rates were age- and sex-standardized.

†† Age-specific mortality rates were sex-standardized.

§§ Sex-specific mortality rates were age-standardized.

Source: [Mortality study reinforces safety of COVID-19 vaccinations and mm7043e2 COVID-19 Vaccination and Non-COVID-19 Mortality Risk — Seven Integrated Health Care Organizations, United States, D](#)

How come they forgot to mention that the vaccine killed more people than it saved?

20 deaths after vaccine vs. 14 deaths on placebo

WHOOOPS! The Pfizer study completely disputes the Oct 22 CDC study.

The screenshot shows the top portion of a web page from The New England Journal of Medicine. At the top left is the journal's logo and name. To the right is a yellow 'SUBSCRIBE OR RENEW' button with a right-pointing arrow and a small image of a tablet. Further right are icons for a user profile and a menu. Below the header is a row of three article teasers. The first is an 'ORIGINAL ARTICLE' titled 'Obesity-Associated GNAS Mutations and the Melanocortin Pathway' with a small bar chart icon. The second is an 'EDITORIAL' titled 'Racial Disparities in Clinical Medicine' with a blue icon. The third is an 'EDITORIAL' titled 'Audio Interview: Are Covid-19 Vaccine Boosters Necessary?' with a right-pointing arrow icon. The main content area below features the title 'ORIGINAL ARTICLE' in red, followed by the main article title 'Safety and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine through 6 Months' in a large black font. Below the title are the authors' names: Stephen J. Thomas, M.D., Edson D. Moreira, Jr., M.D., Nicholas Kitchin, M.D., Judith Absalon, M.D., Alejandra Gurtman, M.D., Stephen Lockhart, D.M., John L. Perez, M.D., Gonzalo Pérez Marc, M.D., Fernando P. Polack, M.D., Cristiano Zerbini, M.D., Ruth Bailey, B.Sc., Kena A. Swanson, Ph.D., et al., for the C4591001 Clinical Trial Group[†]. At the bottom left of the article section, the date 'September 15, 2021' and the DOI '10.1056/NEJMoa2110345' are displayed.

During the blinded, controlled period, 15 BNT162b2 and 14 placebo recipients died; during the open-label period, 3 BNT162b2 and 2 original placebo recipients who received BNT162b2 after unblinding died. None of these deaths were considered related to BNT162b2 by investigators. Causes of death were balanced between BNT162b2 and placebo groups (Table S4).

Reporting rates of myopericarditis (per million doses administered), by manufacturer, sex, and dose number, 7-day risk period* (as of Aug 18, 2021)

**~1 in 317 boys
(16-17) will get
myocarditis from the
vaccine per VAERS data**

**(in order to save ~2 in a
million kids under 18
from dying from COVID)**

Ages† (yrs)	Pfizer		Moderna		Janssen	Pfizer		Moderna		Janssen	Pfizer		Moderna		Janssen
	(All)		(All)		(All)	(Males)		(Males)		(Males)	(Females)		(Females)		(Females)
	Dose 1	Dose 2	Dose 1	Dose 2	Dose 1	Dose 1	Dose 2	Dose 1	Dose 2	Dose 1	Dose 1	Dose 2	Dose 1	Dose 2	Dose 1
12-15	2.6	20.9	0.0	not calc.	0.0	4.8	42.6	0.0	not calc.	0.0	0.5	4.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
16-17	2.5	34.0	0.0	14.6	0.0	5.2	71.5	0.0	31.2	0.0	0.0	8.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
18-24	1.1	18.5	2.7	20.2	2.7	2.4	37.1	5.1	37.7	3.0	0.0	2.6	0.7	5.3	1.6
25-29	1.0	7.2	1.7	10.3	1.9	1.8	11.1	3.2	14.9	2.0	0.3	1.3	0.4	6.3	0.0
30-39	0.8	3.4	1.0	4.2	0.4	1.1	6.8	1.6	8.0	0.0	0.6	1.0	0.4	0.7	1.0
40-49	0.4	2.8	0.5	3.2	1.2	0.7	4.4	0.6	4.6	2.2	0.1	1.8	0.4	2.1	0.0
50-64	0.2	0.5	0.6	0.8	0.2	0.2	0.5	0.4	1.0	0.0	0.3	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.5
65+	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.3	1.0	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.4	1.0	0.2	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.9



* Reports with time to symptom onset within 7 days of vaccination

† Reports among persons 12-29 years of age were verified by provider interview of medical record review

13

Note:

Two dose calc: $1000000 / ((5.2 + 71.5) * 41) = 317$ (note 41 is the URF [even though the FDA and CDC refuse to calculate the value](#))

Reference: John Su, [Safety update for COVID-19 vaccines: VAERS](#)

Vaccines and Related Biological Products Advisory Committee Meeting
October 15, 2021

FDA Briefing Document

EUA amendment request for a booster dose for the Janssen COVID-19 Vaccine

Isn't VE supposed to be positive for Delta?

Table 9. Post-Hoc Analysis of Vaccine Efficacy Against Centrally Confirmed Moderate to Severe/Critical COVID-19 With Onset at Least 14 Days After Vaccination by Virus Variant, Final Efficacy Analysis, Study 3001, Per-Protocol Set (Analyses not Verified by FDA)

	Ad26.COV2.S N ^a =19400 Cases	Placebo N ^a =19398 Cases	VE% (95% CI)
Reference strain	32	108	71.5% (57.3, 81.4)
B.1.1.7 (Alpha)	9	29	70.1% (35.1, 87.6)
B.1.351 (Beta)	36	56	38.1% (4.2, 60.4)
B.1.617.2/AY.1/AY.2 (Delta)	11	10	-6.0% (-178.3, 59.2)
B.1.427/429 (Epsilon)	8	17	54.7% (-10.8, 83.1)
P.1 (Gamma)	74	112	36.4% (13.9, 53.2)
C.37 (Lambda)	43	46	10.0% (-39.5, 42.0)
P.2 (Zeta)	34	93	64.8% (47.3, 77.0)
B.1.621 (Mu)	38	57	35.8% (1.5, 58.6)

Source: Figure 6, fa-tlr-vac31518cov3001.pdf

^aN=Total number of participants at risk

An Israeli health official "We all took into account that this is an experiment"



galileoisback · Published October 21, 2021
· 25 Views

SUBSCRIBE

SHARE

Why don't we just wait for the "clinical trials" to finish? Israel is doing the real "clinical testing" for us.



+ - 2 rumbles

EMBED

Rumble — "Safe and effective"; "Go get vaccinated"; "Pregnant, recovers, children"; "FDA approved"; "No processes have been cut but bureaucracy".

24/7 the propaganda worked to convince Israelis that it was not an experiment and ridicule anyone who dared to differ as "anti-science" and "fake news".

Was this paper wrong?

“Results prove that none of the vaccines provide a health benefit and all pivotal trials show a statically significant increase in “all cause severe morbidity” in the vaccinated group compared to the placebo group.

Based on this data it is all but a certainty that mass COVID-19 immunization is hurting the health of the population in general.

Scientific principles dictate that the **mass immunization with COVID-19 vaccines must be halted immediately ...**”

Source: [US COVID-19 Vaccines Proven to Cause More Harm than Good...](#) by J. Bart Classen, MD

US COVID-19 Vaccines Proven to Cause More Harm than Good Based on Pivotal Clinical Trial Data Analyzed Using the Proper Scientific Endpoint, “All Cause Severe Morbidity”

J. Bart Classen, MD*

Classen Immunotherapies, Inc, 3637 Rockdale Road, Manchester, MD

*Correspondence:

J. Bart Classen, MD, Classen Immunotherapies, Inc, 3637 Rockdale Road, Manchester, MD 21102, Tel: 410-377-8526, E-mail: Classen@vaccines.net.

Received: 24 July 2021; Accepted: 25 August 2021

Citation: Classen B. US COVID-19 Vaccines Proven to Cause More Harm than Good Based on Pivotal Clinical Trial Data Analyzed Using the Proper Scientific Endpoint, “All Cause Severe Morbidity”. Trends Int Med. 2021; 1(1): 1-6.

ABSTRACT

Three COVID-19 vaccines in the US have been released for sale by the FDA under Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) based on a clinical trial design employing a surrogate primary endpoint for health, severe infections with COVID-19. This clinical trial design has been proven dangerously misleading. Many fields of medicine, oncology for example, have abandoned the use of disease specific endpoints for the primary endpoint of pivotal clinical trials (cancer deaths for example) and have adopted “all cause mortality or morbidity” as the proper scientific endpoint of a clinical trial. Pivotal clinical trial data from the 3 marketed COVID-19 vaccines was reanalyzed using “all cause severe morbidity”, a scientific measure of health, as the primary endpoint. “All cause severe morbidity” in the treatment group and control group was calculated by adding all severe events reported in the clinical trials. Severe events included both severe infections with COVID-19 and all other severe adverse events in the treatment arm and control arm respectively. This analysis gives reduction in severe COVID-19 infections the same weight as adverse events of equivalent severity. Results prove that none of the vaccines provide a health benefit and all pivotal trials show a statically significant increase in “all cause severe morbidity” in the vaccinated group compared to the placebo group. The Moderna immunized group suffered 3,042 more severe events than the control group ($p=0.00001$). The Pfizer data was grossly incomplete but data provided showed the vaccination group suffered 90 more severe events than the control group ($p=0.000014$), when only including “unsolicited” adverse events. The Janssen immunized group suffered 264 more severe events than the control group ($p=0.00001$). These findings contrast the manufacturers’ inappropriate surrogate endpoints: Janssen claims that their vaccine prevents 6 cases of severe COVID-19 requiring medical attention out of 19,630 immunized; Pfizer claims their vaccine prevents 8 cases of severe COVID-19 out of 21,720 immunized; Moderna claims its vaccine prevents 30 cases of severe COVID-19 out of 15,210 immunized. Based on this data it is all but a certainty that mass COVID-19 immunization is hurting the health of the population in general. Scientific principles dictate that the mass immunization with COVID-19 vaccines must be halted immediately because we face a looming vaccine induced public health catastrophe.

Keywords

Clinical trial, Vaccines, COVID-19.

Introduction

For decades, true scientists have warned that pivotal clinical trial designs for vaccines are dangerously flawed and outdated

[1]. Vaccines have been promoted and widely utilized under the false claim they have been shown to improve health. However, this claim is only a philosophical argument and not science based.

In a true scientific fashion to show a health benefit one would need to show fewer overall deaths during an extended period in the vaccinated group compared to a control group. Less stringent

Was this paper wrong?

Thus, the ratio of E_{SAE} to O_{SAE} is 31 to 1, suggesting a URF of 31 ($N_{SAE_Pfizer_trial} / N_{SAE_Pfizer_VAERS} = \sim 1.4M / 43,948$).

Using this URF for all VAERS-classified SAEs, estimates to date are as follows: **205,809 dead**, 818,462 hospitalizations, 1,830,891 ER visits, 230,113 life-threatening events, **212,691 disabled** and 7,998 birth defects to date [38].

Since the URF for MAEs is very likely larger than for SAEs, it is satisfactory to assume that 31 is a humble estimate URF for all AEs (refer to Supplementary Table 2). ”

Source: [Critical Appraisal of VAERS Pharmacovigilance: Is the U.S. Vaccine Adverse Events Reporting System \(VAERS\) a Functioning Pharmacovigilance System?](#), Jessica Rose

Critical Appraisal of VAERS Pharmacovigilance: Is the U.S. Vaccine Adverse Events Reporting System (VAERS) a Functioning Pharmacovigilance System?

Jessica Rose, PhD, MSc, BSc
The Institute for Pure and Applied Knowledge

“Patterns of adverse events, or an unusually high number of adverse events reported after a particular vaccine, are called ‘signals.’ If a signal is identified through VAERS, scientist[s] may conduct further studies to find out if the signal represents an actual risk.”

CDC on Vaccine Safety

Abstract

Following the initiation of the global rollout and administration of the COVID-19 vaccines^{1,2} on December 17, 2020, in the United States, hundreds of thousands of individuals have reported Adverse Events (AEs) using the Vaccine Adverse Events Reports System (VAERS). To date, approximately 50% of the population of the United States have received 2 doses of the COVID-19 products with 427,831 AEs reported into VAERS as of August 7th, 2021.

Pharmacovigilance (PV) is the process of collecting, monitoring, and evaluating AEs for safety signals to reduce harm to the public in the context of pharmaceutical and biological agents. Many of the issues with VAERS are becoming well known – especially with regards to reporting and recording of data – in light of the extensive use of this system this year, challenging its functionality as a pharmacovigilance system.

This appraisal assesses three issues that respond to the question of VAERS pharmacovigilance by analyzing VAERS data: 1. Deleted reports, 2. delayed entry of reports and 3. recoding of Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA) terms from severe to mild. The most recently updated publicly available VAERS dataset was found to have N=1516 (0.4%) VAERS IDs removed (“missing”).

- 1 The Brand Name: Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine, the Previous Name: BNT162b2 or the Company Name: Pfizer Inc. and BioNTech SE. can be used in the case of the Pfizer/BioNTech COVID-19 products. The Brand Name: mRNA-1273 and/or Company Name: Moderna, Inc. can be used in the case of the Moderna COVID-19 products.
- 2 mRNA biologicals are not true vaccines. True vaccines undergo time-dependent testing protocols to ensure safety and efficacy, typically enduring between 10 and 15 years. True vaccines are a preparation of a weakened or killed pathogen, such as a bacterium or virus, or of a portion of the pathogen’s structure that, upon administration to an individual, stimulates antibody production or cellular immunity against the pathogen but is incapable of causing severe infection. The mRNA biologicals do not satisfy either these requirements and as such are more akin to experimental treatments than vaccines.

Was this paper wrong?

The [scientific literature](#) says otherwise.

Just because the [CDC says something about VAERS](#) doesn't mean it is true. That's a huge mistake that has cost hundreds of thousands of lives.

FDA says this is just over-reporting. That's untrue. They provided no evidence of that, just hand waving. All the [evidence shows they are lying](#).

We use the [five Bradford-Hill criteria to establish causality](#). And we did the death calculations 8 different ways using 8 different data sources (including government data from 35% of the world's population) and got the same results. So we didn't rely on VAERS. That was just one method.

None of the fact checkers would ever dare to debate me in public. They hide in the shadows while people die.

*Science, Public Health Policy,
and the Law*

Volume 3:81-86
August, 2021
Clinical and Translational
Research

An Institute for Pure
and Applied Knowledge (IPAK)

Public Health Policy
Initiative (PHPI)



Editorial

If Vaccine Adverse Events Tracking Systems Do Not Support Causal Inference, then “Pharmacovigilance” Does Not Exist

James Lyons-Weiler, PhD
Editor-in-Chief

There are two messages from those who hold appointed offices or other influential positions in Public Health on long-term vaccine safety. The first message is that long-term randomized double-blinded placebo-controlled clinical trials are not necessary for the long-term study of vaccine safety because we have “pharmacovigilance”; i.e. long-term post-market safety surveillance that is supported by widely accessible, passive vaccine adverse events tracking systems.

The second message is that any use of those very same vaccine adverse events tracking systems that leads to the inference or conclusion that vaccines

using science is to pose a hypothesis and think of the most critical test that could, in principle, falsify (i.e. disprove) the hypothesis of interest if that hypothesis was, in fact, false.

After conducting the critical test of the hypothesis of interest, a scientist should then examine the evidence provided by the test and interpret the hypothesis and the background knowledge about the hypothesis in light of the new evidence from the critical test that could have demolished the hypothesis if it was, in fact, false.

Under the Popperian model of science,

Reference: [If Vaccine Adverse Events Tracking Systems Do Not Support Causal Inference, then “Pharmacovigilance” Does Not Exist](#)

How come the CDC didn't retract their pregnancy guidance after the correction was issued?



Editor's Note: This article was published on April 21, 2021, at NEJM.org.

A correction has been published 1

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Preliminary Findings of mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine Safety in Pregnant Persons

Tom T. Shimabukuro, M.D., Shin Y. Kim, M.P.H., Tanya R. Myers, Ph.D., Pedro L. Moro, M.D., et al., for the CDC v-safe COVID-19 Pregnancy Registry Team*

June 17, 2021

N Engl J Med 2021; 384:2273-2282

DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa2104983

Chinese Translation 中文翻译

Is Norman right that ACM is the right measure? If not, what is?

Probability and Risk

Improving public understanding of probability and risk with special emphasis on its application to the law. Why Bayes theorem and Bayesian networks are needed



Norman Fenton

Norman Fenton is Professor in Risk Information Management at

Thursday, 23 September 2021

A comparison of age adjusted all-cause mortality rates in England between vaccinated and unvaccinated

Norman Fenton and Martin Neil

The UK Government's own data does not support the claims made for vaccine effectiveness/safety.

In a [previous post](#) we argued that the most reliable long-term measure of Covid-19 vaccine effectiveness/safety is the age adjusted all-cause mortality rate. If, over a reasonably prolonged period, fewer vaccinated people die, from whatever cause, including Covid-19, than unvaccinated people then we could conclude that the benefits of the vaccine outweigh the risks. We also pointed out that, to avoid the confounding effect of age, it is

Book "Risk Assessment and Decision Analysis with Bayesian Networks"

- [Book blog page](#)
- [Buy \(Amazon\)](#)
- [Buy \(CRC Press\)](#)

Key readings

- [Bayes and causal modelling in decision making, uncertainty and risk](#)
- [Irrational restrictions on](#)


How come the ACM is higher in the vaccinated? Isn't it supposed to be the other way around?



Prof Norman Fenton @profnfenton · Sep 23



We've looked at the UK Govt's own age adjusted all-cause mortality rates, comparing vaccinated and unvaccinated. There's key information missing, but the data does NOT support the claims made for #covid vaccine effectiveness/safety. Full explanation: probabilityandlaw.blogspot.com/2021/09/all-ca...



119

1.2K

2.1K



Show this thread

How do you explain that the **case rates are higher in the vaccinated?** Isn't it supposed to be the other way around?



Prof Norman Fenton @profnfenton · Oct 21

Interesting. In fact, in EACH age category from 30 up the case rate is higher in the double vaccinated than the unvaccinated. Moreover, as we highlight here probabilityandlaw.blogspot.com/2021/10/compar... ONS may be underestimating number of unvaccinated so unvacc rates are likely even lower than stated



Dr Clare Craig @ClareCraigPath · Oct 21

Case rates per 100,000 are now double in the double vaccinated population than the unvaccinated for people aged 40 to 79.

assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/upl...

35

304

603



Why did this German association pull support for the boosters?

This is a brief from a regional association of physicians in Germany to their members informing them about an incident in a nursing home where [90 inhabitants were given the third booster shot](#). Out of this resulted 1 death, 2 resuscitations, and 9 critically ill with cardiopulmonary symptoms.

“Given the fact that neither German authorities (PEI) nor European Medicines Agency EMA has approved this booster,” the association is **urging the members to seriously reconsider the need for a booster as of now.**



KV Nordrhein | Kreisstelle Mönchengladbach | Ludwig-Weber-Str. 15 | 41061 Mönchengladbach

An alle
zugelassenen Ärzte/-innen
Ermächtigte Ärzte/-innen

in Mönchengladbach

Kassenärztliche
Vereinigung
NORDRHEIN

Körperschaft des öffentlichen Rechts

Postadresse:
KV Nordrhein
40182 DüsseldorfIhr Ansprechpartner
Kontakt Kioppenburg, Birgit
Telefon 02161 567492
Telefax 02161 567856
E-Mail kreis.moenchengladbach@kvno.de
Datum 07.09.2021

Ihr Zeichen

Ihre Nachricht vom

Unser Zeichen

COVID-19 (Stand 07.09.2021)

Sehr geehrte, liebe Kolleginnen und Kollegen,

kurz eine sehr **wichtige Info** zum Impfgeschehen!

In Oberhausen hat es nach Durchführung von 90 Auffrischungsimpfungen in einem ASB Haus zahlreiche schwere Komplikationen, davon ein Todesfall und 2 Reanimationen gegeben.

Insgesamt sind von 90 Impfungen 9 heftig erkrankt, überwiegend mit kardiopulmonalen Problemen. Entsprechende Meldungen an das Paul-Ehrlich-Institut, das Gesundheitsamt und die KVNO sind erfolgt, die notwendigen Untersuchungen laufen.


Wir müssen Sie zeitnah über die Vorkommnisse informieren, zumal weder eine arzneimittelrechtliche Zulassung durch die EMA noch eine STIKO Empfehlung für diese Auffrischungsimpfungen derzeit existieren!

Ich möchte Sie herzlich bitten, selber ärztlich zu entscheiden, ob Sie nicht lieber auf die Zulassung oder Empfehlung warten wollen, oder Sie diese Auffrischungsimpfung tatsächlich für so dringlich halten, dass diese auch ohne Empfehlung der STIKO oder CoronaImpfV-konforme Zulassung durchgeführt werden müsste.

Wir halten Sie natürlich auf dem Laufenden.

Mit herzlichen Grüßen

Ihre


Dr. med. A. Theilmeier
Vorsitzender der KSMG
der Kassenärztlichen Vereinigung
Dr. med. H. Hüren
Vorsitzender der KSMG
der Ärztekammer

Isn't this too high a price to pay?

4 dead/7 hospitalized after Pfizer Booster
Potential benefit: Save <1 life from COVID

Death:Life = 4:1

Assumptions:

1. [3% IFR for elderly](#) and 30% get COVID in a year
2. Booster lasts for 6 months



[Sunnycrest nursing home](#)
Whitby, Ontario, Canada
136 beds

How can this happen if the vaccines don't kill anyone?

Hale Nai = 288 and Avalon = 108 residents

They lost over 8% of their residents from the vaccine and < 2% from COVID (**V:C=4:1**).

The whistleblower, Abrien Aguirre, was fired for disclosing this.

Here's his [original interview](#) and [my extended interview](#).

Here is a [discussion of patients are dying from the vaccine and not COVID](#).

ABRIEN AGUIRRE HAWAII COVID WHISTLEBLOWER

WATCH



Abrien Aguirre

UK funeral director John O’Looney: Deaths skyrocketed 250% after vaccination started. What caused it?

If you are short on time, start watching at 15:00 for just two minutes. “The death rate was extraordinary. I’ve never seen anything like it in 15 years as a funeral director and neither has anyone I’ve spoken to. And it began as soon as they started putting needles in arms.” Massive number of deaths of all ages and all locations started when they rolled out the vaccines. They were all covered up as “COVID deaths.”

Death rate skyrocketed by 250% in elderly after vaccines rolled out.

3-5 bodies a week in a single nursing home in a week.

Death rates only went up after vaccinations started.

Note: we were able to confirm this in the US, but nobody wanted their name used publicly.



John O’Looney

**We'd never seen
messages like this before
happening on a regular
basis nowadays**


From Elisa to Everyone:

So concerning! Two friends in the past week lost babies post 2nd shot - both in their second trimesters .

How come VE is -109% for 40 year olds in the UK?

In other words, if you are 40 and you get vaccinated, you are >2X more likely to be infected than an unvaccinated person.

Infection Rate in Vaccinated People in Their 40s Now More Than DOUBLE the Rate in Unvaccinated, PHE Data Shows, as Vaccine Effectiveness Hits Minus-109%



In the latest [Vaccine Surveillance report](#) from [Public Health England](#) (PHE) the infection rate in double-vaccinated people in their 40s went above 100% higher than in the unvaccinated for the first time, reaching 109%. This translates to an unadjusted vaccine effectiveness of minus-109%.



Ben M.
@USMortality



New study from Sweden, (preprint) published in The Lancet, reveals that [#Covid19](#) vaccines have a very short lasting effect!

- VE against infection drops below 50% CDC threshold at 5 months (6m for severe)
- VE against infection becomes negative at 8m


[#Covid](#) [#Corona](#) [#Coronavirus](#)

VE is negative in Sweden as well

In other words, after a short honeymoon period, the vaccines make it MORE likely you will be infected.

Vaccine Efficiency (VE) Against COVID-19 Infection in Sweden

Source: The Lancet Preprint - Nordström, et al. (2021)



CDC says spike protein is “harmless” but...

A Closer Look at How COVID-19 mRNA Vaccines Work

COVID-19 mRNA vaccines give instructions for our cells to make a harmless piece of what is called the “spike protein.” The spike protein is found on the surface of the virus that causes COVID-19.

1. **First**, COVID-19 mRNA vaccines are given in the upper arm muscle. Once the instructions (mRNA) are inside the muscle cells, the cells use them to make the protein piece. After the protein piece is made, the cell breaks down the instructions and gets rid of them.
2. **Next**, the cell displays the protein piece on its surface. Our immune systems recognize that the protein doesn't belong there and begin building an immune response and making antibodies, like what happens in natural infection against COVID-19.



... the scientific literature says they are lying; they say they spike protein is cytotoxic

1. [Be aware of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein: There is more than meets the eye](#)
2. [Toxicological insights of Spike fragments SARS-CoV-2 by exposure environment: A threat to aquatic health?](#)
3. [SARS-CoV-2 Spike Protein Impairs Endothelial Function via Downregulation of ACE 2](#)
4. [Pay no attention to the spike proteins behind the curtain](#)
5. [Clearing up misinformation about the spike protein and COVID vaccines](#)

**They can't both be
telling the truth.**

**Who is lying and
how do you know?**



How can you possibly rule out original antigenic sin at this point?

This is when immunity to one strain alone may lead to permanently impaired immune response to the three other serotypes, causing worse and longer illness.

Unreported Truths Subscribe

 **Eugyppius on "Original Antigenic Sin" and why we should never vaccinate kids against the ro. NEVER. As in not ever.**

Alex Berenson · 3 hr ago · 393

As in not now, or later, or EVER.

As in move to a state where it's not required if your state requires it.

As in protest.

As in lie.

As in know the science better than your kid's pediatrician, her teacher, her school board member, so that you can explain - simply and without getting upset - why they do not know what they're talking about.

As in DO NOT let your kids get a jab of mRNA that will at best protect them for a matter of months and at worst will wrongfoot their immune systems so that for THE REST OF THEIR LIVES they will be burdened with a miswired response to a virus that they would otherwise defeat easily.

A lot of you have asked me for sources on the general (roughly zero) risk to kids. I will include those in a separate email. But read and understand this piece first; it is the background you need.

The money shot:


"The most dangerous thing to do, at this point, would be to vaccinate children. The virus is not a threat to them, and if they are infected by the new forms of SARS-2 that are sure to emerge every winter, we will begin to establish – through them and the as yet unvaccinated – the layered immunity that is the only way of coming to terms with SARS-2 in the longer term."

If the vaccines are so safe, why is this archbishop encouraging his peers to speak out?

OPINION

Abp. Viganò warns US bishops about COVID jab: The Great Reset wants 'billions of chronically ill people'

The silence of so many cardinals and bishops, along with the inconceivable promotion of the vaccination campaign by the Holy See, represents a form of unprecedented complicity that cannot continue any longer.



Tue Oct 26, 2021 - 11:07 am EDT

(LifeSiteNews) – Editor's note: Archbishop Carlo Maria Viganò has decided to make public an October 23 letter sent to to Cardinal Luis F. Ladaria S.J., Prefect of the Congregation for the Doctrine of the Faith, Archbishop José Gomez, President of the United States Conference of Catholic Bishops, as well as to all the bishops of the United

Brighten your
by starting

Mother

Shouldn't the FDA ban this as **false and misleading** advertising?

It fails to mention deaths and disability as required by law (informed consent).

Robert W Malone, MD @RWMaloneMD · 58m

First time in history that the ineffectiveness of a medicine is being blamed on those who haven't taken it

COVID-19 vaccines are safe

COVID-19 vaccines reduce risk for infection, serious illness, and death

A study of 11 million people found no increased risk of death among COVID-19 vaccine recipients

Get vaccinated as soon as possible

 Data from December 2020 to July 2021
bit.ly/MMWR7043e2



219 3.1K 7K

If Congress wants to find out where the virus *really* came from, then why is Congresswoman Anna Eshoo refusing to ask the NIH for Fauci's unredacted emails?

Does she have something to hide???



Isn't it odd that countries with the highest **vax rates** have the highest transmissions?



Karen Iacovelli Forster TRUTH @KikiForster · 1 like · 9m

[@stkirsch](#) this doesn't compute with the hard data that 68 highly vaxxed countries have covid spikes; that CDC's own research found that the 4 of the highest vaxxed counties in the US had high virus transmission. 57 counties with the lowest vax rates (26.3 max less than 20% in others) had the lowest transmission. Paper released from Stanford showed vax has no discernible effect upon mitigating virus. The opposite happening. Belgium news just reported high vax rate causing spikes.


Unlike Reply Repost ...

This was slide 18 of [ACIP Chair Grace Lee's presentation](#) at the August 30, 2021 ACIP meeting.

Nobody noticed that it is impossible for pulmonary embolism, DVT, and intracranial hemorrhage to not to be elevated by the vaccines.

Start [watching this video \(TFNT9\)](#) at 19:50 for the full story of this remarkable mistake.

Do you think the Figure is accurate? The ACIP committee members all do. Nobody said a word. They have no clue about safety signals or mechanism of action of these vaccines. I fell off my chair when I saw her present this slide.



- In a 42-day risk window for myocarditis:
 - Risk ratio **3.2** after vaccination vs. **18.3** after SARS-CoV-2 infection
 - Risk difference of **2.7** per 100,000 persons after vaccination vs. **11.0** events per 100,000 persons after SARS-CoV-2 infection
- Adverse events substantially increased after infection
- Protective effects of vaccination observed

Barda et al., NEJM 2021

Another troubling statistic for kids: this one on all-cause mortality (likely due to the vaccines).

Child deaths are 52% higher than the 5-year-average since they were offered the Covid-19 vaccine, after previously being 14% down according to ONS data

BY THE EXPOSÉ ON OCTOBER 20, 2021 • (25 COMMENTS)



Listen Now

New data published by the Office for National Statistics has revealed that the number of children to have died since Chris Whitty advised the Government they should be offered the Covid-19 vaccine is 52% higher than the five-year-average, after previously being 14% down on the five-year-average up until the Joint Committee on Vaccination and Immunisation being overruled.

On September 13th 2021, the four Chief Medical Officer's (CMO's) of the United Kingdom [wrote to the Government](#) advising them to offer all healthy children over the age of 12 a single dose of the experimental Pfizer mRNA Covid-19 injection. The most important public health benefit the CMO's

Why would the number of deaths due to “abnormal clinical findings” go up after vaccination started? That’s odd. Hmm...



Katherine Lutz
@KatherineLutz18



Replying to @AngryRhino1111


Data available from the Centre for Disease Control in the USA shows that since the Covid-19 vaccination programme got underway in the US, deaths due to ‘abnormal clinical findings not elsewhere classified’ have increased exponentially compared to pre-Covid-19 vaccination levels



8:08 PM · Oct 22, 2021 · Twitter Web App

Coercion. No
informed consent.

Click the image to
hear this mom's
story about her son.



This [video](#) is just two minutes long. Watch it. It's from Trinidad where they are forcing the vaccines on people there.

The mom cries, “He was bleeding. He was bleeding **in his brain.**” Exactly like the 2 of 14 kids who died in the [CDC's 12-17 year old study](#).

This is not a coincidence. This is not normal. This is not an anecdote. Her child is dead. He was killed by the vaccine.



Mother forced to get vaccinated → newborn get vaccine symptoms

The mother had to have a C-section. The hospital said, “We won’t do it if you haven’t been vaccinated.” The mom had no choice.

Now her baby is neurologically damaged.

Please watch this video. The same symptoms are common in vaccine victims. For a newborn baby to have these symptoms is unprecedented, isn’t it? How often does that happen? Never?

INFANT HAS COVID-19 VACCINE SIDE EFFECTS BECAUSE MOTHER WAS FORCED TO TAKE SHOT BEFORE DELIVERY

WATCH



26609 173 4

00:05

Star, Clock, Menu, Comment, Share icons


The rate of unclassified deaths is increasing faster than can be explained normally. Why?



Katherine Lutz
@KatherineLutz18

Replying to @AngryRhino1111

Data available from the Centre for Disease Control in the USA shows that since the Covid-19 vaccination programme got underway in the US, deaths due to 'abnormal clinical findings not elsewhere classified' have increased exponentially compared to pre-Covid-19 vaccination levels



Isn't this a violation of the Nuremberg Code?

Coercion and lack of informed consent

1. The **voluntary consent** of the human subject is **absolutely essential**. This means that the person involved should have legal capacity to give consent; should be so situated as to be able to exercise free power of choice, without the intervention of any element of force, fraud, deceit, duress, overreaching, or other ulterior **form of constraint or coercion**; and should have **sufficient knowledge and comprehension of the elements** of the subject matter involved as to enable him to make an understanding and enlightened decision. This latter element requires that before the acceptance of an affirmative decision by the experimental subject there should be made known to him the nature, duration, and purpose of the experiment; the method and means by which it is to be conducted; all inconveniences and hazards reasonably to be expected; and the **effects upon his health or person** which may possibly come from his participation in the experiment. The duty and responsibility for ascertaining the quality of the consent rests upon each individual who initiates, directs, or engages in the experiment. It is a personal duty and responsibility which may not be delegated to another with impunity.^[13]

Isn't this a violation
of the Nuremberg
Code?

Coercion and lack of
informed consent

Teen dies of Heart Attack after having Covid-19 Vaccine because it was mandated by his Hockey Team

BY THE EXPOSÉ ON OCTOBER 24, 2021 • (11 COMMENTS)




Listen Now

A 17-year-old boy in Canada died of a heart attack at home on September 27th reportedly two weeks after he received a Covid-19 injection.

Sean Hartman is said to have suffered from "multiple" health problems "immediately" after the jab, including myocarditis, or heart inflammation. He received the COVID jab in order to be able to play hockey in an arena where the shot is required for entry.

"Sean was born on January 31st 2004, and since then there was nothing that Sean was more passionate about than sports, especially hockey as he played his entire life, reads his GoFundMe page. "He started his hockey career playing for the Beeton Stingers and went on to play for TNT in Alliston. Whether watch or play, Sean just loved the game."

Sean was passionate about sports, especially hockey. He started his hockey career playing for the Beeton Stingers and went on to play for TNT in Alliston. Beeton Athletic paid tribute to 17-year-old with this post:



Shouldn't we be worried about vaccine-enhanced replication and infectivity?

INVESTIGATION – Official Government reports suggest the Fully Vaccinated will develop Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome by the end of the year

BY THE EXPOSÉ ON OCTOBER 23, 2021 • (36 COMMENTS)



Listen Now

The last 7 Public Health England / UK Health Security Agency 'Vaccine Surveillance' report figures on Covid-19 cases show that double vaccinated 40-79 year-olds have now lost 50% of their immune system capability and are consistently losing a further 5% every week (between 3.9% and 8.8%).

Projections therefore suggest that 40-79 year-olds will have zero Covid / Viral defence at best, or a form of vaccine mediated *acquired immunodeficiency syndrome* at worst, by Christmas and all double vaccinated people over 30 will have completely lost that part of their immune system which deals with Covid-19 within the next 13 weeks.

By a concerned reader

The 7 Public Health England (PHE) / UK Health Security Agency (UKHSA) tables below from their excellent Vaccine Surveillance reports of all fully genome sequenced Delta variant cases, separated by 6 weeks, clearly show the progressive damage that the vaccines are doing to the immune response of the double vaccinated. PHE / UKHSA have done so much great work and are continuing to paint an extremely clear picture.

Early treatment


The better alternative to end the pandemic

Early treatment with existing drugs using a 99% effective protocol (such as the Fareed and Tyson protocol) is the faster, safer, cheaper, and saner way to end the pandemic.

No lockdowns, no masking, no social distancing required.

Higher immunity. Fewer deaths than the vaccines.


But nobody wants to go against what the CDC says even when they are wrong. See c19early.com for details.



Lots of drugs work.

There are many combination protocols that work that use these drugs.

All studies combined (pooled effects, all stages) c19early.com Oct 26, 2021





Steve Kirsch
@stkirsch

HUGE: Uttar Pradesh, India Announces State Is COVID-19 Free Proving the Effectiveness of "Deworming Drug" IVERMECTIN



HUGE: Uttar Pradesh, India Announces State Is COVID-19 Free Proving the Eff...
The Gateway Pundit previously reported that COVID cases are plummeting in India thanks to new rules that promote Ivermectin and hydroxychloroquine to it...
thegatewaypundit.com


9:08 AM · Sep 17, 2021 · Twitter for iPhone

280 Retweets 24 Quote Tweets 734 Likes

Uttar Pradesh is now COVID-free

They used [early treatments](#).

Vaccination rates there are miniscule ([now 11%](#)).



Horowitz: Heavily vaccinated state accounts for 65% of India's COVID cases after rejecting ivermectin

DANIEL HOROWITZ | September 17, 2021



Kerala by contrast...

The Indian state of Kerala has 3% of India's population, and 67% of its inhabitants have at least one vaccination. One would expect Kerala's COVID cases to be so low as to be invisible in a chart of India's very low overall cases. Yet this state of just 33 million people accounted for 65% of all of India's cases on Thursday, and even more in recent weeks. It has essentially been the only state experiencing a surge in recent months. It also happens to be the Indian state that has rejected ivermectin.

Source: [Horowitz: Heavily vaccinated state accounts for 65% of India's COVID cases after rejecting ivermectin](#)

Aren't vaccines the **worst way** to solve this problem?

Why not copy India with early treatment? (**152X higher infection rate per capita in US**)

Steve Kirsch  @stkirsch
4d · 🌐 · Edited

The US medical leadership sucks. Look at US and UK vs. India. Is anyone paying attention? Vaccines are a terrible solution.

Now Yesterday 2 Days Ago Columns ▾ Search:

All Europe North America Asia South America Africa Oceania

#	Country, Other	Active Cases/1M pop
	World	
1	USA	29,551
2	India	194
3	Brazil	1,983
4	UK	19,710
5	Russia	4,391
6	Turkey	5,642
7	France	1,807

Why did we ignore the fastest, safest, and cheapest way to end the pandemic?



George Fareed @GeorgeFareed2 · 3h

We could have saved between 80% and 85% of over 700,000 Americans with early aggressive treatment...



14

214

404



George Fareed @GeorgeFareed2 · 3h

Those who knew what to do and what saved lives never contacted by this administration...



Early treatment

Why are all these treatments being ignored?

Why is nobody interested in the Fareed-Tyson protocol? It beat the Merck drug on every parameter. [99.76% real-life efficacy.](#)

Dr. George Fareed and Dr. Brian Tyson share early treatment protocol

Dec 12, 2020 Updated Apr 16, 2021  0




Why aren't we allowing doctors to prescribe a medicine that is proven in multiple systematic reviews and meta-analyses...

THE HIGHEST LEVEL of evidence-based medicine?

Is there strong evidence that IVM kills more people than it saves? Where?

P.S. I know Dr. Haider. He is fabulous. This problem is being exasperated by the FDA, CDC, AMA. Why? What evidence do they have of harm. Why can't we see it?



Fluvoxamine

Proven in a large Phase 3 clinical trial and other trials, it reduced death from COVID by over 90% to be published in *Lancet* Oct 27, conveniently *1 day after* the VRBPAC meeting!

Why are we ignoring it?

How can you have an EUA for a vaccine in light of this? You can only get an EUA if there are no other alternatives.



How can you have an
EUA when:

- 1) there is no
“emergency”
- 2) there is a proven
viable alternative
(early treatment with
fluvoxamine is
proven in Phase 3
trial to reduce death
by over 90%)



David Boulware, MD MPH
@boulware_dr

@TogetherTrial manuscript on the survival benefit of #fluvoxamine for early #covid19 outpatient therapy is eventually coming out in @LancetGH (?this week, ? next week ?sometime). The journal seem to be slow walking this manuscript -- business as usual, not really important.

3:05 PM · Oct 18, 2021 · Twitter Web App



David Boulware, MD MPH @boulware_dr · Oct 18

Most impressive result is that in those who tolerated #fluvoxamine & took $\geq 80\%$ of the possible doses (~75% overall), there was 1 death in the fluvoxamine group & 12 in the placebo group.

This is a 91% reduction in odds of death

Odds Ratio = 0.09; 95% CI 0.01–0.47

#IDTwitter



24



120



233



David Boulware, MD MPH @boulware_dr · Oct 18

One "problem" is #fluvoxamine only costs \$10, thus no big corporate marketing machine promotes.

Why is the CDC staying **completely silent** about Vitamin D?!?

One of my top recommendations for safeguarding your health at this time is to optimize your vitamin D level. In my lecture, I show a graph that clearly illustrates the correlation between higher vitamin D levels and your risk of dying from COVID-19. At a level of 17 ng/mL, the death rate is nearly 100%. At a level of 35 ng/mL, which is still below the ideal minimum of 40 ng/mL, the death rate is near zero.

Source: Dr. Mercola's 2021 Biohacking Lecture

Unfortunately, the US government forced Mercola to delete all content after 48 hours so I can't provide a link.

Early treatment benefits

1. Higher relative risk reduction for all variants (over 99%)
2. Simple prophylaxis protocols be used to prevent infection with up to 100% success without the use of any drugs whatsoever
3. Greater safety (minor temporary side effects, known safety profile)
4. They lower both all-cause mortality and all-cause morbidity
5. They work equally well on all variants
6. They do not promote escape variants
7. They do not cause vaccine enhanced infectivity/replication
8. They do not risk original antigenic sin (linked-epitope suppression)
9. They do not cause prion diseases
10. They prevent long-haul COVID syndrome nearly 100% of the time
11. They enable people to acquire recovered immunity which is up to 27X stronger and more durable than vaccine-induced immunity

What is our conflict of interest?

People claim we are making money on opposing vaccination, but they never say how.

How?

1. Steve Kirsch
2. Robert Malone
3. Geert Vanden Bossche
4. Byram Bridle
5. Peter McCullough
6. Ryan Cole
7. Bret Weinstein
8. Chris Martenson
9. Paul Alexander
10. Jessica Rose
11. Meryl Nass
12. Mathew Crawford
13. Charles Hoffe
14. Marc Girardot
15. George Fareed
16. Stephanie Seneff
17. Aditi Bhargava
18. Vinu and Vinay Julapalli
19. Dr. James Lyons-Weiler
20. ...


How come
mainstream media
isn't asking any of
these questions?

Are they paying
attention or are they
asleep at the
wheel?



Conversation starters

I could have added another 100 questions, but these should provide a **decent set of conversation starters** when talking with those who believe the false narrative that the vaccines are **safe and effective** and that mass vaccination with a leaky vaccine is a **viable strategy** in the middle of a pandemic.



FOR MORE INFO

See the Vaccine resources article on skirsch.io

It has links to everything I've written on vaccine safety. Most items have both the PDF and source files. Feel free to plagiarize.



Vaccine

Vaccine resources

Links to important documents relating to vaccine safety and efficacy issues. Highly recommended.

READ MORE

