

From: Fred Teeboom <fredtee@comcast.net>
Sent: Monday, May 05, 2025 5:41 PM
To: 'Tim Bush'
Cc: 'Deputy City Attorney Dory Clarke'; 'Robert P. Sullivan'; 'Perrin, Gary'
Subject: Questions on the Hearing on my Conduct Violations Complaint against BoA President Lori Wilshire

Atty. Bush:

1. Exactly what is the purpose of the 'initial hearing.' I read from NRO 12-33 that there is only one hearing, and that is to be held within 30 days of the ERC's receipt of the Complaint, not 30 days from some later date.
2. What does "if your complaint is screened-in" mean? There is no "initial screening review" in NRO par 12-33.
3. About Subpoena Power, the committee can adopt that as a rule under NRO 12-31. How can you hold a hearing if no witnesses are compelled to appear, other than the defendant (whose appearance is also optional)? For example, I may want to question city atty. Bolton, since he seems to have a lot to do with the circumstances leading to the Complaint against Wilshire (Read the Feb 25 minutes attached to my Complaint).
4. Furthermore, I expect
5. Gary Perrin is a R-t-K coordinator, not a member of the ERC. I thought the rules in NRO 12-31 would be the rules followed, unless the ERC committee adapted substitute of additional rules such as: rules for Subpoenas, for Interrogatories, for brief (e.g. 3 to 5 minutes) Oral Opening and Oral Closing Statements, for pre-Hearing and post - Hearing "Memoranda of Facts."
6. Who is Robert Sullivan? The ERC 's attorney, driving in pro-bono from Portsmouth, NH? How many attorneys do you need for the ERC's NRO 12-34 "advisory" function?

Fred Teeboom
Plaintiff
Former Alderman-at-Large

From: Tim Bush [mailto:bush@wbdklaw.com]
Sent: Monday, May 5, 2025 1:57 PM
To: 'Fred Teeboom'